# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C.

FORM 10-K

FOR ANNUAL AND TRANSITION REPORTS
PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OF

[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998 Commission File Number 1-10192

Gulfport Energy Corporation (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation or organization)

73-1521290 (IRS Employer Identification Number)

6307 Waterford Blvd. Ste. 100 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73118 (405) 848-8807

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive office)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:  $\mbox{Not Applicable}$ 

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE ON WHICH

TITLE OF EACH CLASS Common Stock, \$0.50 par value Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value REGISTERED None

Indicate by a check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  $[X\ ]$  No  $[\ ]$ .

Indicate by a check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [ ]

All shares of common and preferred stock outstanding prior to the Effective Date of the Plan of Reorganization (July 11, 1997) were canceled on the Effective Date. The number of shares of the registrant's Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding at March 31, 1999 was 3,445,206. The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Company using an average trading price in December 1998 was \$2,019,063.

# APPLICABLE ONLY TO REGISTRANTS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PRECEDING FIVE YEARS

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Section 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court.

Yes X No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE REGISTRANTS

 Common Stock Issued Outstanding December 31, 1998(1):
 172,260,305

 Common Stock Issued Outstanding March 31, 1999(2):
 3,445,206

## DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

- (1) The December 31, 1998 shares have been restated throughout this Annual Report give effect to the Reverse Stock Split.
- (2) On March 5, 1999, the Registrant completed a fifty to one reverse stock split.

2

3

#### DISCLOSURE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-K includes "forward-looking" statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). All statements other than statements of historical facts, included in this Form 10-K that address activities, events or developments that Gulfport Energy Corporation, formerly known as WRT Energy Corporation ("Gulfport" or "Company"), a Delaware corporation, expects or anticipates will or may occur in the future, including such things as estimated future net revenues from oil and gas reserves and the present value thereof, future capital expenditures (including the amount and nature thereof), business strategy and measures to implement strategy, competitive strength, goals, expansion and growth of Gulfport's business and operations, plans, references to future success, reference to intentions as to future matters and other such matters are forward-looking statements. These statements are based on certain assumptions and analyses made by Gulfport in light of its experience and its perception of historical trends, current conditions and expected future developments as well as other factors it believes are appropriate in the circumstances. However, whether actual results and developments will conform with Gulfport's expectations and predictions is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, general economic, market, or business conditions; the opportunities (or lack thereof) that may be presented to and pursued by Gulfport; competitive by other oil and gas companies; changes in laws or regulations; and other factors, many of which are beyond the control of Gulfport. Consequently, all of the forward looking statements made in the Form 10-K are qualified by these cautionary statements and there can be no assurances that the actual results or developments anticipated by Gulfport will be realized, or even if realized, that they will have the expected consequences to or effects on Gulfport, its business or operations.

PART I

#### Item 1. Business

#### Description of Business

The Company owns and operates mature oil and gas properties in the Louisiana Gulf Coast area. The Company seeks to achieve reserve growth and increased cash flow from operations through low risk development activities on its existing properties and acquiring additional Louisiana Gulf Coast properties with exploitation and exploration potential.

#### Background

On February 14, 1996, Gulfport's predecessor, WRT Energy Corporation ("WRT"), filed a petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Louisiana (the "Bankruptcy Court") for protection under Chapter 11 of the Federal Bankruptcy Code. Upon filing of the voluntary petition for relief, WRT, as debtor-in-possession, was authorized to operate its business for the benefit of claim holders and interest holders, and continued to do so, without objection or request for appointment of a trustee. All debts of WRT as of the petition date were stayed by the Bankruptcy Court and were subject to compromise pursuant to such proceedings. WRT operated its business and managed its assets in the ordinary course as debtor-in-possession, and obtained court approval for transactions outside the ordinary course of business. Based on these actions, all liabilities of the company outstanding at February 14, 1996 were reclassified to estimated pre-petition liabilities.

By order dated May 5, 1997, the Bankruptcy Court confirmed the Second Amended Plan of Reorganization (the "Plan"). The Plan was consummated and became effective on July 11, 1997. On the Effective Date, WRT was merged with and into Gulfport.

Entering 1995, the Company's strategic focus was the acquisition and development of operated working interests in large, mature oil and gas fields in south Louisiana. To help finance its acquisition and development program, the Company utilized borrowings under the INCC Credit Facility with Internationale Nederladen (U.S.) Capital Corporation ("INCC") which was secured by substantially all of the Company's assets. In addition, in February 1995, the Company offered 100,000 units consisting of \$100,000,000 aggregate principal amount of 13 7/8% Senior Notes Due 2002 (the "Senior Notes") and warrants (the "Warrants") to purchase an aggregate of 800,000 shares of the WRT's common stock (the "1995 Offering"). The net proceeds from the 1995 Offering were used to acquire working interests in certain oil and gas properties, to repay substantially all borrowings under the INCC Credit Facility and other indebtedness and for general corporate purposes.

During the remainder of 1995, the Company borrowed additional funds under the INCC Credit Facility, bringing the outstanding borrowings to \$15,000,000, the maximum amount of borrowings available under the INCC Credit Facility. On December 31, 1995, the INCC Credit Facility converted to a term loan whereby quarterly principal payments of one-sixteenth of the outstanding indebtedness were due and payable.

Following the completion of the acquisition of working interests in certain oil and gas properties, the Company initiated a significant capital expenditure program to increase oil and gas production levels in each of its fields. This program consisted of approximately 70 workover, sidetrack, and recompletion projects and ten new development wells. Funding was provided from operating cash flow, remaining proceeds from the 1995 Offering, and borrowings under the INCC Credit Facility. The Company's production levels increased on a gas equivalent (MCFE) basis from March 1995, when the oil and gas property acquisitions were completed, to September 1995; however, the production increases were realized at a slower pace than expected at the time of acquisition.

The lower than expected level of production resulted from various factors including a combination of ordinary production declines, unexpected losses of production from several key wells, mechanical difficulties in the Lac Blanc field, and significant production declines in the predominantly oil producing West Cote Blanche Bay field ("WCBB"), which was not then operated by the Company. Contributing significantly to the shortfall in anticipated production rates were three major well projects which proved to be unsuccessful in September 1995, for which the Company expended a total of approximately \$3,600,000. Also, contributing to lower than expected net revenues and operating cash flow was a significant decline in oil and gas prices during the third and early fourth quarters of 1995 compared to the corresponding quarters of the previous year. These lower than expected production rates, together with decreased oil and gas prices during the third quarter of 1995, had a significant negative effect on the Company's liquidity and cash flow from operations.

Based on operating results for the quarter ended September 30, 1995, the Company had not yet realized the oil and gas production levels required at then current prices and costs to support the Company's capital requirements and fund existing debt service on the Senior Notes and pay dividends on its 9% Convertible Preferred Stock ("Convertible Preferred Stock"). In early October 1995, the Company had fully utilized the \$15,000,000 borrowing base available under the INCC Credit Facility; and in response to liquidity and cash flow concerns, the Company changed its focus from acquisition and development of non-producing reserves to conservation of cash resources and maintenance of existing producing properties. The Company curtailed its activities to the minimum level of maintenance necessary to operate prudently its producing oil and gas wells. All other activities, including prospect acquisitions, new drilling and development of the Company's proved non-producing and undeveloped reserves ceased.

In connection with this strategy, the Company made certain changes to its corporate structure and organization aimed at reducing costs and improving operations. On November 10, 1995, Steven S. McGuire resigned as a director, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. Samuel C. Guy, the Company's Executive Vice President, also resigned as a director. Mr. Guy's employment contract, which expired on February 29, 1996, was not renewed by the Company. The Board of Directors appointed Raymond P. Landry, previously President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company, to the position of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer.

The Company also implemented plans to reduce general and administrative expenses in Houston, Texas as well as move the corporate offices from The Woodlands, Texas and reduce its workforce from 76 in October 1995 to 28 in June 1997. The workforce reductions, primarily from the Company's research and development activities and wireline/logging operations, were consistent with the Company's focus on conservation of cash and maintenance of existing producing properties.

The Company experienced further decreases in oil and gas production and related cash flows in late 1995 and early 1996, which further deteriorated the Company's already weakened financial condition. At December 31, 1995, the Company was in default under certain financial covenants of the INCC Credit Facility. As a result of the declines in oil and gas production and related cash flows, the Company was not generating and did not expect to generate in the near term sufficient cash flow to meet its existing obligations, including: the \$6,900,000 interest payment on the Senior Notes due March 1, 1996, trade payable obligations remaining from the Company's 1995 capital expenditure program, quarterly principal and interest due on the INCC Credit Facility, dividends on the Convertible Preferred Stock, and ongoing field operating and general and administrative expenses. As liquidity problems became more severe, the Company

concluded that a comprehensive financial restructuring would provide the best result to the various stakeholders in the Company.

On February 14, 1996, the Company commenced a voluntary reorganization case under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code by filing a voluntary petition for bankruptcy relief with the Bankruptcy Court (Case No. 96BK-50212). Upon the filing of the voluntary petition for relief, the Company, as debtor-in-possession, was authorized to operate its business for the benefit of claim holders and interest holders, and continued to do so without objection or request for appointment of a trustee. All debts of the Company as of the petition date were stayed by the Bankruptcy Court and were subject to compromise pursuant to such proceedings. The Company did not make the March 1, 1996 interest payment on the Senior Notes and pursuant to an order of the Bankruptcy Court did not make the scheduled interest payment of \$381,000 to INCC on February 28, 1996, nor did it make any interest payments from that date on the INCC Credit Facility through July of 1997. In addition, the Company did not make the first scheduled payment of \$938,000 due on the INCC Credit Facility on March 31, 1996, nor did it make any principal payments from that date through July of 1997. On July 11, 1997, the INCC Credit Facility was paid in full, pursuant to the Plan. During the pendency of the bankruptcy proceedings, the Company was required to obtain court approval, for transactions outside the ordinary course of business.

On October 22, 1996, the Company accepted and signed the proposal ("DLBW Proposal") submitted by DLB Oil & Gas, Inc. ("DLB") and Wexford Management, L.L.C., on behalf of its affiliated investment funds, providing the terms of a proposed capital investment in a plan of reorganization of the Company. The Company subsequently obtained Bankruptcy Court approval of the expense reimbursement provisions of the DLBW proposal.

Subsequent to the Company's execution of the DLBW Proposal, DLB commenced negotiations with Texaco Exploration and Production, Inc. ("TEPI") regarding, (i) the claim asserted by TEPI against the Company and its affiliates ("Texaco Claim"), (ii) the purchase of certain interests owned by TEPI in the WCBB field ("WCBB Assets") and (iii) the Contract Area Operating Agreement related to the WCBB Assets and various other agreements relating thereto. As a result of the negotiations, on March 11, 1997, TEPI and DLB entered into, among other agreements, the Purchase, Sale and Cooperation Agreement ("PS&C Agreement") pursuant to which DLB (i) agreed to purchase the Texaco Claim, (ii) agreed to purchase the WCBB Assets from TEPI and (iii) agreed to guarantee ("P&A Guarantee") the performance of all plugging and abandonment obligations related to both the WCBB Assets and the Company's interests in the WCBB field. In order to implement the P&A Guarantee, the Company paid into a trust ("P&A Trust") established for the benefit of the State of Louisiana, \$1,000,000 on the Effective Date of the Plan.

Pursuant to the PS&C Agreement, on the Effective Date of the Plan, DLB, among other things, assigned its rights associated with the WCBB Assets to Gulfport, and as a result, Gulfport assumed, jointly and severally with DLB, the liabilities with respect to the WCBB Assets.

By order dated May 5, 1997, the Bankruptcy Court approved the Plan. The Plan involved (i) the issuance to WRT's unsecured creditors, on account of their allowed claims, an aggregate of 10,000,000 shares of Common Stock, (ii) the issuance to WRT's unsecured creditors, on account of their allowed claims, the right to purchase an additional 3,800,000 shares of Common Stock at a purchase price of \$3.50 per share (the "1997 Rights Offering"), (iii) the issuance to DLBW and affiliates of the number of shares of Common Stock obtained by dividing DLBW's Allowed Secured Claim ("Secured Claim") amount by a conversion price of \$3.50 per share, (iv) the purchase by DLBW of all shares of Common Stock not otherwise purchased pursuant to the 1997 Rights Offering, (v) the transfer by DLB of the WCBB Assets to Gulfport along with the associated P&A Trust and associated funding obligation in exchange for 5,000,000 shares of Common Stock, (vi) the funding by Gulfport of \$3,000,000 to an entity (the "Lit Entity") to be controlled by an independent party for the benefit Company's existing unsecured creditors and the transferring to the Litigation Entity any and all causes of action, claims, rights of actions, suits or proceedings which have been or could be asserted by WRT except for (a) the action to recover unpaid production proceeds payable to WRT by Tri-Deck and (b) the foreclosure action to recover title to certain assets, and (vii) the distribution of warrants to purchase Common Stock at an exercise price of \$10.00per share to holders of certain securities litigation claims against WRT and to holders of WRT's common stock and preferred stock. The Plan also provided for the cancellation of WRT's common stock and preferred stock. Pursuant to the Plan, Gulfport owns a 12% economic interest in the Litigation Entity and the remainder of the economic interests in the Litigation Entity were allocated to unsecured creditors based on their ownership percentage of the 13,800,000 shares of Common Stock distributed and issued as described in (i) and (ii) above. The Plan became effective on July 11, 1997.

Upon the Effective Date of the Plan, Gulfport became the owner of one hundred percent (100%) of the working interest in the shallow contract area at WCBB. The proceeds from the 1997 Rights Offering were utilized to provide the cash necessary to satisfy Administrative and Priority Claims, fund the Litigation Entity with \$3,000,000 and provide Gulfport with working capital.

Item 2. Properties

Principal Oil and Gas Properties

Gulfport owns interests in a number of producing oil and gas properties located along the Louisiana Gulf Coast. The Company serves as the operator of all the properties in which it holds a working interest. The following table presents certain information as of January 1, 1999, reflecting the Company's net interest in its producing oil and gas properties.

<TABLE>
<CAPTION>

		PRODUCING WELLS		SHUT-IN WELLS ACREAGE (1)		GE (1)	A	RESERVES S OF 1/1/99	
FIELD	GROSS	NET	GROSS	NET	GROSS	NET	GAS MBOE	OIL MBOE	TOTAL MBOE
<\$>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>
E. HACKBERRY	13	8.5	72	37	3147	1574	324	1,033	1,357
W. HACKBERRY	4	4	7	7	592	592	0	12	12
WEST COTE BLANCHE BAY (2)	49	48	305	304	4590	4590	63	22,960	23,023
OTHER	25	4.66	1	1	508	508	169	276	445
TOTAL	91 =====	65.16 =====	385 =====	349 ====	8837 =====	7264 ====	556 ====	24, 281 =====	24,837 =====

  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- (1) All of the Company's acreage is Developed Acreage.
- (2) Includes 1 Producing Well and 3 Shut-in Wells attributable to depths below the Rob "C" Marker. The Company has a 6.25% non-operated working interest in the depths below the Rob "C" Marker.

The oil and gas leases in which the Company has an interest are for varying primary terms and may require the payment of delay rentals to continue the primary terms. The operator may surrender the leases at any time by notice to the lessors, or by the cessation of production, or by the failure to pay delay

#### East Hackberry Field

In February 1994, the Company purchased a 100% working interest (approximately 79% average NRI) in certain producing oil and gas properties situated in the East Hackberry Field in Cameron Parish, Louisiana. The purchase included two separate lease blocks, the Erwin Heirs Block, originally developed by Gulf Oil Company, and the Texaco State Lease 50 Block, originally developed by Texaco. The East Hackberry Field is located along the western shore of Lake Calcasieu in Cameron Parish, Louisiana approximately 80 miles west of Lafayette and 15 miles inland from the Gulf of Mexico. The properties cover approximately 3,147 acres of oil and gas leases, together with 13 productive wells and 72 shut-in wells that were originally drilled by Gulf Oil Company and Texaco.

In September 1994, the Company sold an overriding royalty interest in certain producing oil and gas wells situated in the East Hackberry Field to Milam Royalty Corporation. Milam Royalty Corporation's interest is now owned by Queen Sand Resources, Inc. ("QSRI"). On an aggregate basis, the overriding royalty interests provides for payment to QSRI of 62.5% of 80% (equal to 50% on working interest basis) of the net profits attributable covered by the  $\,$  arrangement  $\,$  until QSRI recovers 150% of its cash investment and 46.875% of 80%  $\,$  thereafter  $\,$  (equal to 37.5% on a 100% working  $\,$  interest basis in State Lease 50 Block and 41% on a 100% working interest basis on the Erwin Heirs Block). QSRI may elect to retain an identical royalty interest in the new wells by participating in the drilling, workover or recompletion expenses on a pro rata basis. The Company retains operational control over the East Hackberry Field. In November of 1998 the Company re-logged 5 wells and perforated additional zones in 4 of the wells and worked over the other well. These operations yielded an additional 80 net BOPD. Because of prevailing market

conditions during 1998, the Company believed it was commercially impractical to shoot seismic or commence drilling operations on the subject property. As a result, the Company has agreed to surrender approximately 440 non-producing acres in the State Lease 50 portion of the field. Currently the Company is negotiating with QSRI to acquire QSRI interest in the field.

#### West Hackberry Field

In November 1992, the Company purchased a 100% working (approximately 80% average NRI, subsequently increased to approximately 87.5% NRI) in 592 acres within the West Hackberry Field in Cameron Parish, Louisiana with four producing wells. The field was discovered in 1928 and was developed by Superior Oil Company (now Mobil Corporation) between 1938 and 1988. During 1998, the gas supply well for gas lift system for the field ceased production, the Company was able to restore production when a new source of gas was found.

#### West Cote Blanche Bay

TEPI, the operator of the WCBB field prior to March 1997, discovered the WCBB Field in 1938. This field lies approximately five miles off the coast of Louisiana primarily in St. Mary Parish in a shallow bay, with water depths averaging seven to eight feet. The field overlies one of the largest salt dome structures on the Gulf Coast. The Company acquired from TEPI a 6.25% working interest in the WCBB field in July 1988. In April 1995, the Company completed the purchase of an additional 43.75% working interest in the WCBB field from an affiliate of Benton Oil and Gas Company and two affiliates of Tenneco, Inc. The sellers retained their interests in all depths below approximately 10,500 feet. Pursuant to the Plan, at the Effective Date, the Company acquired the remaining 50% working interest in the WCBB field in depths above the Rob "C" located at approximately 10,500 feet and became the operator of the field. The Company also owns 6.25% non-operated working interest in depths below the Rob "C" Marker and TEPI is the operator. Therefore the Company now owns a 100% working interest in the depths above the Rob "C" Marker and a 6.25% non-operated working interest in depths below the Rob "C" Marker. The Company also owns royalty or overriding royalty interests in an additional 25 producing oil and gas wells lying in four fields. The Company retains an overriding royalty interest from 6.57% to 8.67% in the Bayou Penchant Field (see "Recent Events"). The Company also possesses a 2.5% overriding royalty interest in the Napoleonville Field (see "Recent Events"). The Company also owns an override in one well in addition to leasehold rights in the South Atchafalaya Bay Field. Additionally, the Company owns approximately 250 net acres of fee minerals and royalty interest in six wells adjacent to its West Hackberry Field.

#### Drilling and Recompletion Activities

The following table contains data with respect to certain of the Company's field operations during the years ended December 31, 1998, 1997, 1996 and 1999 to date. The Company drilled no exploratory wells during the periods presented.

<CAPTION>

	1998		1998 1997 1996		1997 1996		1999 to	Date
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
<s></s>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>
Recompletions,								
Sidetracks and								
Deepenings:								
Oil	7	4.7	6	5.5	12	5.7	6	4.8
Gas	0	0	6	4.5	5	3.2	0	0.0
Non-Productive	0	0	6	5.5	7	4.7	1	0.8
	-						-	
TOTAL:	7	4.7	18	15.5	24	13.6	7	5.6
	=	====	===	=====	===	=====	=	===
Development Wells:								
Oil	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Gas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Productive	0	0	0	0	1	0.5	0	0
	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
TOTAL	0	0	1	1	1	0.5	0	0
-	=	=	=	=	=	===	=	=

  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  || , |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
In January of 1999, the Company commenced a seven well recompletion program, six of the operations were successful and one was unsuccessful. The Company added approximately 900 BOPD and 1.8 MCFGPD through this work. The program also allowed the Company to become a net seller of gas as opposed to a purchaser of gas lift gas for the WCBB field.

## Title to Oil and Gas Properties

It is customary in the oil and gas industry to make only a cursory review of title to undeveloped oil and gas leases at the time they are acquired and to obtain more extensive title examinations when acquiring producing properties. In future acquisitions, the Company will conduct title examinations on material portions of such properties in a manner generally consistent with industry practice. Certain of the Company's oil and gas properties may be subject to title defects, encumbrances, easements, servitudes or other restrictions, none of which, in management's opinion, will in the aggregate materially restrict the Company's operations.

#### Reserves

The oil and gas reserve information set forth below represents only estimates. Reserve engineering is a subjective process of estimating volumes of economically recoverable oil and gas that cannot be measured in an exact manner. The accuracy of any reserve estimate is a function of the quality of available data and of engineering and geological interpretation. As a result, the estimates of different engineers often vary. In addition, the results of drilling, testing, and production may justify revisions of such estimates. Accordingly, reserve estimates often differ from the quantities of oil and gas that are ultimately recovered. Estimates of economically recoverable oil and gas and of future net revenues are based on a number of variables and assumptions, all of which may vary from actual results, including geologic interpretation, prices, and future production rates and costs.

The following table sets forth estimates of the proved oil and gas reserves of the Company at December 31, 1998, as estimated by a non-employee contract engineer.  $\begin{array}{c} \text{CTABLE} > \\ \text{CCAPTION} > \end{array}$ 

JANUARY 1, 1999

Proved Reserves	Developed	Undeveloped	Total
<i>&lt;\$&gt;</i>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>
Oil (MBBLS)	5,665	18,616	24,281
Gas (MMCF)	1.250	2.080	3.330
MBOE	5,873	18,963	24,836
Year-end present value of			
estimated future net			
revenue	(\$1,058,000)	\$28,454,000	\$27,396,000

  |  |  |Total proved reserves decreased from 27,736 MBOE at January 1, 1998 to 24,836 MBOE at January 1, 1999. This decrease in reserves is attributable to two

sales that occurred in 1998 and the write down of properties. The sale of Bayou Pigeon, Bayou Penchant, Lac Blanc, Deer Island and Golden Meadow effective April 1, 1998 reduced reserves by 1860 MBOE. The sale of Napoleonville effective July 1, 1998 reduced reserves 189 MBOE. The reserves were reduced on additional 12 MBOE attributable to the lapse of the Abbeville lease. The additional reduction is due to the two reserve write downs that occurred in 1998.

As a result of ceiling tests performed at June 30, 1998, September 30, 1998 and December 31, 1998, the Company was required to write down the value of its oil and gas properties by \$16,168,000, \$28,000,000 and \$5,962,000, respectively.

The estimated future net revenues set forth above were determined by using reserve quantities of proved reserves and the periods in which they are expected to be developed and produced based on economic conditions prevailing at December 31, 1998. The estimated future production is priced at December 31, 1998 without escalation using \$11.43 per BBL and \$2.21 per MCF.

In compliance with federal law, the Company files annual reports with the Energy Information Agency of the U.S. Department of Energy with respect to its production of oil and gas during each calendar year and its estimated oil and gas reserves at the end of each year.

Production, Prices, and Costs

The Company sells its oil and gas at the wellhead and does not refine petroleum products. Other than normal production facilities, the Company does not own an interest in any bulk storage facilities or pipelines. As is customary in the industry, the Company sells its production in any one area to relatively few purchasers, including transmission companies that have pipelines near the Company's producing wells. Gas purchase contracts are generally on a short-term "spot market" basis and usually contain provisions by which the prices and delivery quantities for future deliveries will be determined.

The majority of the Company's crude oil production is sold on contracts based on postings plus a premium. These premiums are based on an average paid by several purchasers minus a handling charge per barrel of oil. The following table contains certain historical data reflecting the average production costs incurred by the Company during the years ended December 31, 1998, 1997 and 1996.

		Estimated		
Production Volumes:	1998	1997	1996	1999
<s></s>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>
Oil (MBBLS)	441	566	615	470*
Gas (MMCF)	. 421	2.818	3.629	**
Oil Equivalents (MBOE)	512	1,036	1,220	470
Average Prices:				
Oil (per BBL)	\$15.48	\$20.93	\$22.17	
Gas (per MCF)	\$ 2.30	\$ 2.86	\$ 2.86	
Oil Equivalents (per MBOE)	\$15.18	\$19.20	\$19.68	
Average Production Costs (per BOE)	\$14.01	\$ 9.05	\$10.90	
Average Production Taxes (per BOE)				

 \$ 1.49 | \$ 1.48 | \$ 1.47 |  |

- $^\star$  1999 estimated production is based on an average of actual production from January and February, 1999 and multiplied by twelve months.
- \*\* Actual data are insufficient to estimate gas production for 1999.

During 1998, the sales to Equiva Trading Co., Gathering and Energy Marketing Co., Black Hills Energy Resources, Inc., and Plains Marketing, L.P. accounted for 25%, 16%, 23% and 10%, respectively for oil sales. Gas sales to Prior Energy Company, Texaco Exploration and Production, Inc., and Burlington Resources, Inc. accounted for 47%, 27% and 11% of the Company's gas revenues. The Company had no other purchasers that accounted for greater than 10% of its oil and gas revenues in the year ended December 31, 1998.

## Competition and Markets

Availability of Markets. The availability of a ready market for any oil and/or gas produced by Gulfport depends on numerous factors beyond the control of management, including but not limited to, the extent of domestic production and imports of oil, the proximity and capacity of gas pipelines, the availability of skilled labor, materials and equipment, the effect of state and federal regulation of oil and gas production and federal regulation of gas sold in interstate commerce. Gas produced by Gulfport in Louisiana is sold to various purchasers who service the areas where Gulfport's wells are located. Gulfport's wells are not subject to any agreements that would prevent Gulfport from either selling its gas production on the spot market or committing such gas to a long-term contract; however, there can be no assurance that Gulfport will continue to have ready access to suitable markets for its future oil and gas production.

Impact of Energy Price Changes. Oil and gas prices can be extremely volatile and are subject to substantial seasonal, political and other fluctuations. The prices at which oil and gas produced by Gulfport may be sold is uncertain and it is possible that under some market conditions the production and sale of oil and gas from some or all of its properties may not be economical. The availability of a ready market for oil and gas and the prices obtained for such oil and gas, depend upon numerous factors beyond the control of Gulfport, including competition from other oil and gas suppliers and national and international economic and political developments. Because of all of the factors influencing the price of oil and gas, it is impossible to accurately predict future prices.

Operations of Gulfport are subject to numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations governing environmental protection. Over the last several  $10\,$ 

years, state and federal environmental laws and regulations have become more stringent and may continue to become more stringent in the future. These laws and regulations may affect Gulfport's operations and costs as a result of their effect on oil and gas development, exploration, and production operations. It is not anticipated that Gulfport will be required in the near future to expend amounts that are material in relation to its total capital expenditures program by reason of environmental laws and regulations, but inasmuch as such laws and regulations are frequently changed, Gulfport is unable to predict the ultimate cost of compliance.

#### Operational Hazards and Insurance

Gulfport's operations are subject to all of the risks normally incident to the production of oil and gas, including blowouts, cratering, pipe failure, casing collapse, oil spills and fires, each of which could result in severe damage to or destruction of oil and gas wells, production facilities or other property, or injury to persons. The energy business is also subject to environmental hazards, such as oil spills, gas leaks, and ruptures and discharge of toxic substances or gases that could expose Gulfport to substantial liability due to pollution and other environmental damage. Although Gulfport maintains insurance coverage considered to be customary in the industry for a company its size, it is not fully insured against certain of these risks, either because such insurance is not available or because of high premium costs. The occurrence of a significant event that is not fully insured against could have a material adverse effect on Gulfport's financial position.

#### Employees

At December 31, 1998, the Company had no direct employees. Management services were provided under the Administrative Services Agreement with DLB Equities, L.L.C. (See Certain Relationships and Related Transactions). A Louisiana well servicing company serves as contract operator of the fields.

#### Item 3. Legal Proceedings

During 1995, the Company entered into a marketing agreement with Tri-Deck pursuant to which Tri-Deck would market all of the Company's oil and gas production. Subsequent to the agreement, James Florence, who served as both Tri-Deck's principal and WRT's Director of Marketing, assigned Tri-Deck's right to market the Company's oil production to Plains Marketing and assigned Tri-Deck's right to market the Company's gas production to Perry Gas. During early 1996, Tri-Deck failed to make payments to the Company attributable to several months of the Company's gas production. Consequently, on May 20, 1996, the Company filed with the Bankruptcy Court a Motion to Reject the Tri-Deck Marketing Agreement, and on May 29, 1996, the Company initiated an adversarial proceeding against Tri-Deck and Perry Gas.

On January 20, 1998, Gulfport and the Litigation Entity entered into a Clarification Agreement to clarify provisions of the Plan regarding the rights of the Company and the Litigation Entity to prosecute certain causes of action arising from the Tri-Deck matter. As a part of the Clarification Agreement, the Litigation Entity was substituted as the actual party in interest in the Tri-Deck case and reimbursed the Company \$100,000 for legal fees incurred by the Company. As additional consideration for the contribution of this claim to the Litigation Entity, the Company is entitled to receive 85% of the recovery of all Tri-Deck monies held in the court registry and 50% of the recovery from all other Tri-Deck litigation pursued by the Litigation Entity.

On July 20, 1998, Sanchez Oil & Gas Corporation ("Sanchez") initiated litigation against the Company in the fifteenth Judicial District Court, Parish of Lafayette, State of Louisiana. In its petition, Sanchez alleged, among other things, that the Company was obligated, by virtue of the terms of a letter of intent, to grant a sublease to Sanchez for an undivided 50% interest in two of the Company's oil, gas and mineral leases covering lands located in the North Bayou Penchant area of Terrebonne Parish, Louisiana. Pursuant to this lawsuit, Sanchez is seeking specific performance by the Company of the contractual obligation that Sanchez alleges to be present in the letter of intent and monetary damages. The Company sold North Bayou Penchant in one of the 1998 sales (see Recent Events). In connection with the sale, the Company, Castex and Sanchez reached an amicable resolution of this dispute. Settlement papers are currently being drafted.

11

In connection with WRT's bankruptcy case, LLOG Exploration Company asserted a secured claim in the Bankruptcy Proceedings for \$1.1 million dollars to fund a plugging escrow with the Department of Natural Resources of Louisiana. WRT disputed LLOG's claim and filed an objection seeking a disallowance of LLOG's claim and a determination that any claim asserted by LLOG with regard to establish a plugging escrow was unsecured. On July 8, 1997, the Bankruptcy Court ruled that LLOG Exploration Company possessed a secured claim for establishing the plugging escrows, but did not determine the amount of the claim. On November 20, 1998, the parties settled this action for \$450,000.

### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

A proxy statement dated September 24, 1998 was furnished to holders of Common Stock ("Stockholders") of the Company in connection with the solicitation by and on behalf of the Board of Directors of proxies for the use at a Special Meeting of Stockholders to be held at the offices of the Company on October 26, 1998 for the purpose of amending the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock from 50,000,000 to 250,000,000. After sending the Proxy Statement to the Stockholders, the Company solicited written consents from certain Stockholders

to approve the Proposed Amendment. On October 20, 1998, in accordance with Section 228 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, the Company received written consent of stockholders holding over 50% of the outstanding and issued stock approving the increase of authorized shares.

The annual shareholder meeting for the Company has not been scheduled as of the date of this filing.

#### PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

The Company's Common Stock is traded on the NASD OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol GPOR. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices for the Common Stock in each quarter commencing with the Effective Date:

<TABLE>

<CAPTION>

	BEFORE S	SPLIT	AFTER SPLIT		
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1997	LOW	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	
	<c></c>		<c></c>		
Third Quarter (commencing July, 1997)	No	No			
2,	Activity	Activity			
Fourth Quarter	\$3.75	\$5.00			
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998	LOW	HIGH			
First Quarter	\$3.36	\$4.63	\$168.00	\$231.50	
Second Quarter	\$1.12	\$3.38	\$ 56.00	\$169.00	
Third Quarter	\$0.20	\$1.50	\$ 10.00	\$ 75.00	
Fourth Quarter	\$0.02	\$0.20	\$ 1.00	\$ 10.00	

 • |  |  | • |Prior to February 29, 1996, WRT's common stock was quoted on the NASDAQ National Market under the symbol "WRTE". During the period January 1, 1996 through February 29, 1996, the high and low sale prices reported on the NASDAQ National Market were \$1.19 and \$0.25, respectively. Effective February 29, 1996, WRT's common stock was delisted from the NASDAQ National Market.

#### Holders of Record

At the close of business on March 9, 1999, there were 3,445,206 shares of Common Stock outstanding held by 334 shareholders of record.

#### Dividend Policy

The Company has never paid dividends on the Common Stock. The Company currently intends to retain all earnings to fund its operations. Therefore, the Company does not intend to pay any cash dividends on the Common Stock in the foreseeable future.

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected financial data as of and for the years ended December 31, 1998 and 1997, and as of and for the six months and 10 days ended July 10, 1997, for the Predecessor Company and the five months 21 days ended December 31, 1997, for the Company are derived from the consolidated financial statements of the Company included elsewhere in the Annual Report. The selected financial data at December 31, 1996, 1995 and 1994 and for the years then ended have been derived from historical consolidated financial statements of WRT. The financial data set forth below should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the consolidated financial statements of the Company and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

<TABLE>

	Rediganiz	ed Company	Fledecessol Company					
	1997 to				Year Ended December 31,			
	1998	1997	1997	1996	1995	1994		
(in thousands, except per share amounts)								
Statement of Operation Data <s> Oil and gas sales</s>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c> \$ 24,655</c>	<c> \$ 11,034</c>		
oii and gas sales								
Operating expenses	66,415	11,478(1)	11,002	40,855	139, 497 (2)	10,126		
Net income (loss) from operations	(58,117) 	(2,022)	(864)	(16, 836)	(114, 842)	908		
Interest expense Reorganization costs	1,534 -	727 -		5, 562 7, 345	13, 759 -	19 -		

Net income (loss) before income taxes and extraordinary item	(59,	105)		(1, 713)	(	9, 615)	(	29, 387)	(128, 175)	4,266
Extraordinary item Net income (loss) before dividends on preferred		-		-		88, 723		-	-	-
stock	(59,	105)		(1, 713)		79,108	(	29, 387)	(128, 175)	4,230
Dividends on preferred stock		-		-		(1,510)		(2, 846)	(2,846)	(2,846)
Net income (loss) available to common stock	(59,	105)		(1, 713)		77, 598	(	32, 233)	(131, 021)	1,384
Earnings (loss) per common and Common equivalent share Average common and common	(72	. 34)		(3.88)		N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
equivalent shares outstanding		817		442		9,539		9,539	9,466	7, 792
Capital expenditures										

 \$ | 991 | \$ | 5,644 | \$ | 2,562 | \$ |  | \$116,730 | \$40,087 || • |  | 13 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
<TABLE>

	Reorganiz	ed Company	Pre	decessor Compan	У
		July 11,			
		1997 to			
	De	cember 31,	Year	Ended December	31,
	1998	1997	1996	1995	1994
Balance Sheet Data (in					
thousands)					
<s></s>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>
Working capital (deficit)	\$ (3,204)	\$ (719)	\$ (148, 932)	\$ (131, 601)	\$ 6,301
Property, plant and					
equipment, net	19,990	81,501	56,899	63,913	59,042
Total assets	27,568	92,346	68,076	79,247	81,857
Total long-term debt	381	13,528	_	-	6,260
Shareholders' equity (deficit)					

 18,503 | 70,280 | (60,551) | (61,869 | 63,538 |

- (1) Operating expenses for 1998 include a non-cash charges of \$50,131,000 for impairment of oil and gas properties, \$271,000 for abandonment of long-lived assets and a \$244,000 provision for doubtful accounts. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."
- (2) Operating expenses for 1995 include a non-cash charge of \$103,000,000 related to impairment of long-lived assets pursuant to SFAS No. 121, non-cash charges of \$3,600,000 related to a minimum production guarantee obligation, a \$2,000,000 provision for doubtful accounts, and a \$1,400,000 charge related to restructuring costs incurred. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

As a result of the Reorganization Case and Plan, which was consummated and became effective on July 11, 1997, the Company was required to present its financial statements pursuant to fresh start reporting standards. Accordingly, the financial statements of Gulfport are not comparable to the financial statements of WRT. However, in the case of the statement of operations, the Company believes that comments comparing calendar years are appropriate in order to provide a more meaningful understanding of the Company's operations.

The following discussion and analysis of the Company's financial condition and results of operations is based in part on the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this Annual Report and should be read in conjunction therewith.

#### Recent Events

#### West Cote Blanche Bay

In the first quarter of 1999, the Company completed a seven well recompletion project increasing production at West Cote by approximately 900 BOPD and 1.8 MCFPD. The Company is currently evaluating additional rework possibilities and a five well new drill program.

On March 27, 1998, the Company and Tri-C Resources, Inc. executed an agreement to farmout drilling rights at WCBB. During the course of the three phase program contemplated by the agreement, Tri-C agreed to either drill 22 wells to an average depth of 6,500 feet or to drill 12 wells to the same depth and shoot 3-D seismic surveys covering the field. Under the Farmout Agreement, the Company would be carried for a 30% to 50% working interest in each well. Once Tri-C successfully completed all three phases of the program, it would earn a 50% interest in the WCBB field. On December 23, 1998, Tri-C notified the Company that it was terminating the Farmout Agreement. The Company believes the termination of the Agreement was a breach of contract and is currently reviewing its legal course of action.

14

On October 6, 1998, the Company and Plymouth Resources 1998, L.L.C. ("Plymouth") executed a wellbore farmout on WCBB in which Plymouth agreed to rework 15 wells in the first year of the farmout. Each year thereafter, Plymouth

agreed to rework at least 22 wells a year. The Company was to receive a 50% reversionary interest calculated on a well by well basis. The effectiveness of the agreement was subject to the prior consent of Texaco Exploration and Production, Inc. In December 1998, Texaco informed the Company that it would not consent to the Farmout Agreement as written. By letters dated January 4, 1999 and February 24, 1999, Plymouth alleged that Gulfport breached the August 1998 Farmout Agreement by engaging in a rework program at WCBB. The Company does not agree with the contention that it breached the Farmout Agreement since the required consents could not be obtained.

#### Rights Offering

On November 20, 1998, the Company completed a \$7.5 million Rights Offering. The Company distributed 200,000,000 nontransferable rights at an exercise price of \$0.05 per right equal to 4,000,000 rights at \$2.50 after giving effect to the Stock Split to the Company's existing shareholders. Each right entitled the holder thereof to subscribe to purchase one share of common stock at the exercise price. Each shareholder who exercised in full his basic subscription privilege was entitled to oversubscribe for additional rights. A total of 150,183,199 rights were exercised for \$7,509,000. As of the date of the Rights Offering, Affiliated Shareholders were owed \$4.6 million by the Company. In the Rights Offering, the Affiliated Shareholders exercised 87,609,761 rights through the forgiveness of \$4,380,000 of debt. (See Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.)

#### Sale of Properties

The Company sold, effective July 1, 1998, its interest in the Napoleonville field for \$1.1 million and a 2.5% overriding royalty interest in field. In connection with the sale, the purchaser agreed to establish a plugging and abandoning escrow account in accordance with the provisions of LSA R.S. 30:88, et. seq. The establishment of this escrow account is intended to protect the Company from future liability associated with the plugging and abandoning of the field and associated environmental liabilities.

The Company and Castex Energy 1996, L.P. ("Castex") entered into a purchase and sale agreement whereby Castex agreed to purchase Bayou Penchant, Bayou Pigeon, Deer Island, Golden Meadow and Lac Blanc for \$7.8 million plus overriding royalties and reversionary interests in the properties. Prior to the closing, Castex notified the Company of a title defect at Lac Blanc. As a result, the \$936,000 purchase price attributable to Lac Blanc was placed in a closing escrow until the title defect could be resolved. Additionally, the \$911,000 held in a plugging escrow for Lac Blanc was also placed in the closing escrow. Once the title defect is cured, the \$936,000 purchase price shall be released to the Company's principal lender, ING, and the \$911,000 plugging escrow will be distributed to Castex.

#### Spin-Off

After consummation of the Plan, DLB owned 48.89% of the issued and outstanding shares of Gulfport Common Stock. On April 28, 1998, DLB merged into Chesapeake Energy Corporation. Immediately prior to the merger, DLB distributed all of DLB's equity interest in the Company to the then existing DLB shareholders.

#### 1.

#### Credit Facility

In December 1994, WRT entered into a \$40,000,000 credit facility with International Nederlanden (U.S.) Capital Corporation ("INCC") ("INCC Credit Facility") that was secured by substantially all of WRT's assets. At December 31, 1996, WRT had borrowings outstanding of \$15,000,000, the maximum amount of borrowings available under the INCC Credit Facility. At December 31, 1995, the revolving loan borrowings were converted to a term loan whereby quarterly principal payments of one-sixteenth of the outstanding indebtedness were due and payable. Amounts outstanding under the INCC Credit Facility bore interest at an annual rate selected by WRT of either (i) the London Inter-Bank offered rate ("LIBOR") plus 3%, or (ii) the Lender's prime lending rate plus 1.25%.

At December 31, 1996, WRT was in default under certain financial covenants of the INCC Credit Facility. Accordingly, WRT classified the debt as current at December 31, 1996. While WRT was in bankruptcy, INCC was stayed from enforcing certain remedies provided for in the ING Credit Agreement and the indenture. On the Effective Date, this loan was repaid in full along with \$3,154,000 in accrued interest and legal fees.

On the Effective Date, the Company entered into a new \$15,000,000 Credit Agreement (the "ING Credit Agreement") with ING (U.S.) Capital Corporation (successor to INCC) ("ING") that was secured by substantially all of the Company's assets. Initial loan fees of \$188,000 were paid on or prior to the Effective Date, an additional loan fee of \$100,000 was made on December 31, 1997 and a loan fee of \$100,000 was due on or before December 31, 1998. The loan matures on July 11, 1999, with interest to be paid quarterly and with three interim principal payments of \$1,000,000 each to be made in September 1998, December 1998, and March 1999. This loan bears interest at the option of the Company at either (i) LIBOR plus 3% or (ii) ING's fluctuating "reference rate" plus \$1.25%. This loan is collateralized by substantially all of the Company's assets. At December 31, 1998, this rate was 8.6875%.

The ING Credit Agreement contains restrictive covenants which impose limitations on the Company with respect to, among other things: (i) the maintenance of current assets equal to at least 110% of current liabilities (excluding any current portion of the ING Credit Agreement); (ii) the incurrence of debt outside the ordinary course of business; (iii) dividends and similar payments; (iv) the creation of additional liens on, or the sale of, the Company's oil and gas properties and other assets; (v) the Company's ability to enter into forward, future swap or hedging contracts; (vi) mergers or consolidations; (vii) the issuance of securities other than Common Stock and

options or warrants granting the right to purchase Common Stock; (viii) the sale, transfer, lease, exchange, alienation or disposal of Company properties or assets; (ix) investments outside the ordinary course of business; (x) transactions with affiliates; (xi) general and administrative expenditures in excess of \$1 million during any fiscal quarter or in excess of \$3 million during each fiscal year; and (xii) the maintenance of an aggregate net present value attributable to all collateral as determined from engineering reports equal to 120% of the principal amount of the ING Credit Agreement on such date.

On August 18, 1998, the Company amended the ING Credit Agreement (the "Amended ING Credit Agreement") to, among other things: (i) delete the coverage ratio set forth in the ING Credit Agreement, and (ii) require interest payments to be made by the Company on a monthly basis. The principal amount and the interest rate set forth in the ING Credit Agreement remain unchanged. In connection with the execution and delivery of the Amended ING Credit Agreement, ING waived certain provisions of the ING Credit Agreement to permit certain actions by the Company. In consideration for entering into the Amended ING Credit Agreement and granting certain waivers, the Company and ING further agreed that (a) the Company will pay a \$250,000 amendment fee to ING on July 11, 1999, provided that such amendment fee will be waived if the amounts owed to ING under the Amended ING Credit Agreement have been paid in full by July 10, 1999; and (b) the Company shall issue warrants to ING, in that such warrants will permit ING to purchase 2% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock on a fully diluted basis.

On November 20, 1998, the Company and ING entered into a letter agreement wherein ING consented to the Castex sale and the Company agreed to issue ING warrants to purchase .05% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock on a fully diluted basis if (1) the Company elected not to complete the November 20, 1998 Rights Offering, (2) did not spend the proceeds from the Rights Offering as specified in the letter agreement or (3) raise less than \$10,000,000 in the November 20, 1998 Rights Offering. The Rights Offering was completed and raised \$7,500,000. On November 20, 1998, ING was issued the additional warrants.

16

The Company did not make the \$100,000 loan fee due on December 31, 1998 and does not plan to make the \$1.0 million principal payment due on March 31, 1999. The Company has requested ING to capitalize the two payments and extend the note for two years with principal reduction beginning in the Fourth Quarter of 1999. ING has agreed to extend the March 31, 1999 principal payment due date until April 30, 1999 so that the parties can discuss the terms of an extension. The approval of an extension on the terms thereof are unknown and cannot be guaranteed by the Company. Currently the Company has prioritized utilization of cash flow to develop underdeveloped reserves. Only 1% of the Company's reserves are currently developed. The Board believes that profitability of the Company depends on tapping into the undeveloped reserves.

For further information regarding the filing for protection under Chapter 11 of the Federal Bankruptcy Code see Item 1 "Business - Events Leading to the Reorganization Case".

### Accounting Change

Before July 11, 1997, the Company used the successful efforts method for reporting oil and gas operations. Commencing on the Effective Date, the Company converted to the full cost pool method of accounting for its oil and gas operations to be in conformity with the method used by DLB, its principal characteristics.

Due to the restating of property values to comply with fresh start accounting and the conversion from the successful efforts method to the full cost pool method for reporting oil and gas operations on the Effective Date, comparison of depreciation, depletion, and amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 1998 and 1997, with prior years will not be meaningful.

#### Results of Operations

Prices and Production Volumes.

The markets for oil and gas have historically been, and will continue to be, volatile. Prices for oil and gas may fluctuate in response to relatively minor changes in supply and demand, market uncertainty and a variety of factors beyond the control of the Company. Set forth in the table below are the average prices received by the Company and production volumes during the periods indicated.

<TABLE>

Year Ended December 31,

	1998	1997	1996
Production Volumes			
<\$>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>
Oil (MBBLS	441	566	615
Gas (MMCF)	421	2,818	3,629
Oil equivalents (MBOE)	512	1,036	1,220
Average Prices			
Oil (per BBL)	\$15.48	\$20.93	\$22.17
Gas (per MCF)	\$ 2.30	\$ 2.86	\$ 2.86
Oil equivalents (per MBOE)	\$15.18	\$19.20	\$19.68
Average production costs (per BOE)	\$14.01	\$ 9.05	\$10.90
Average production taxes (per BOE)			

 \$ 1.49 | \$ 1.48 | \$ 1.47 |17

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 1998 and 1997

\$(59,105,000) for the year ended December 31, 1998, as compared with net income attributable to common stock of \$77,598,000, for the year ended December 31, 1997. The major change in earnings attributable to common stock of \$136,703,000 was due primarily to the following factors: (1) the write-down of oil and gas properties totaling \$50,131,000, (2) the decrease in oil and gas revenues, (3) the sale of oil and gas properties resulting in an additional decrease in production and (4) the gain on discharge of debt in the amount of \$88,723,000 which dramatically affected 1997 earnings.

Impairment of oil and gas properties. During 1998, the Company incurred an impairment of oil and gas properties of \$50,130,000. The value of the oil and gas properties was impaired due primarily to the reduction in the present value of anticipated future cash flow which occurred as a result of a 36% decrease in the BOE prices from \$17.91 used in the January 1, 1998 reserve report to \$11.43 used in the January 1, 1999 reserve report.

Oil and Gas Revenues. During 1998, the Company reported oil and gas revenues of \$8,298,000, a 58\$ decrease from revenues of \$19,894,000 for 1997. The decreased revenues are attributable to a decrease in production volumes of \$12 MBOE along with a decrease of \$4.02 per BOE in average sales price for the year.

Decrease in Production. During 1998, production decreased only 512 MBOE resulting primarily from the sale of producing oil and gas properties effective April 1, 1998 located in Bayou Pigeon, Bayou Penchant, Deer Island, Lac Blanc, and Golden Meadow and the sale of Napoleonville effective September 1, 1998.

Extraordinary Gain. During 1997, the Company recognized an extraordinary gain of \$88,723,000 related to the forgiveness of debt recognized in connection with implementing the Plan of Reorganization.

In 1998, the Company reduced several key expenditures including production costs, general and administrative expenses, taxes and interest expense.

Production Costs. Production costs decreased \$1,604,000, or 17%, to \$7,782,000 in 1998 from \$9,386,000 in 1997. Production costs per BOE increased 49% from \$9.41 per BOE in 1997 to \$14.01 per BOE in 1998. Overall costs decreased in part because of decreases in lease operating expenses and the sale of various producing properties. However, the production costs per BOE rose in 1998 because of the sale of various producing properties which decreased the number of BOE to carry production costs and the added expenditure of plugging 40 wells at WCBB.

General and Administrative Expense. General and administrative expenses decreased by 22% from \$3,642,000 in 1997 to \$2,849,000 in 1998. The decrease is due primarily to a reduction in contract services incurred in connection with implementing the plan of reorganization during 1997. In addition, during 1998 management reduced its work force and implemented other cost savings. During 1997, the Company capitalized \$417,000 in general and administrative expenses. Considering the total G&A incurred for 1997, the expenses were reduced by 30%.

Gross Production Taxes. Production taxes decreased by \$719,000, or 47%, from \$1,533,000 in 1997 to \$814,000 in 1998. This decrease is attributable to the reduction in oil and gas sales.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$299,000, from \$1,833,000\$ for 1997 to <math>\$1,534,000\$ for 1998.

Other changes in income for the year ended December 31, 1998 as compared to the year ended December 31, 1997 were attributable to the following factors:

Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization. Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense was \$4,324,000 in 1998 consisting of \$4,136,000 in depletion on oil and gas properties and \$189,000 in depreciation of other property and equipment. Due to the restating of property values to comply with fresh start accounting and the conversion from the successful efforts method to the full cost pool method for reporting oil and gas operations on the Effective Date, comparisons of 1997 depreciation, depletion, and amortization expense with prior years will not be meaningful.

18

Provision for Doubtful Accounts. Bad Debt expense increased \$173,000 from \$71,000\$ in 1997 to \$244,000 in 1998.

Restructuring Charges and Reorganization Costs. During 1997, the Company incurred \$7,771,000 in reorganization costs, consisting of \$3,000,000 contributed to the Litigation Entity as called for in the Plan of Reorganization, \$1,515,000 reimbursed to DLB for restructuring costs it incurred on the Company's behalf.

Abandonment of Long-Lived Assets. During 1998, the Company abandoned computer software costs in the amount of \$271,000.

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 1997 and 1996

The Company reported net income attributable to common stock of \$77,598,000 for the year ended December 31, 1997, as compared with net loss attributable to common stock of \$32,233,000, for the year ended December 31, 1996. The change in earnings attributable to common stock of \$109,628,000 was due to the following factors: (1) the extraordinary gain of \$88,723,000 related to the forgiveness of debt, (2) the decrease in production costs and (3) the decrease in interest expense.

Extraordinary Gain. During 1997, the Company recognized an extraordinary gain of \$88,723,000 related to the forgiveness of debt recognized in connection with implementing the Plan of Reorganization.

Production Costs. Production costs decreased \$3,918,000, or 29\$, to

\$9,386,000 in 1997 from \$13,304,000 in 1996. Production costs per BOE decreased 14% from \$10.92 in 1996 to \$9.41 per BOE in 1997. This decrease in production costs per BOE was due primarily to the addition in 1996, the following, as additional production costs (1) disputed claims adjustments totaling approximately \$2,814,000, (2) the Milam Royalty Corp. settlement in the amount of \$1,172,000, and (3) the Lac Blanc purchase price adjustment in the amount of \$479,000. Production costs per BOE excluding the previously mentioned items increased by \$0.81 in 1997 as compared with 1996, due primarily to increased workover activities.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$3,729,000, from \$5,562,000 for 1996 to \$1,833,000, primarily due to the termination of the interest accrual on the \$100,000,000 in Senior Notes as of February 14, 1996 (the filing date of the Chapter 11 proceedings). The decrease is primarily due to payment of principal totaling \$10,580.000.

Oil and Gas Revenues. During 1997, the Company reported oil and gas revenues of \$19,894,000, a 17% decrease from revenues of \$24,019,000 for 1996. The decreased revenues are attributable to a decrease in production volumes of 184 MBOE along with a decrease of \$\$ .48 per BOE in average sales price for the year. The production declines are due primarily to normal production declines and the loss of production from two large oil wells on the Deer Island lease during 1997, offset in part by the addition of an additional 50% interest in the WCBB properties on the Effective Date.

Gross Production Taxes. Production taxes decreased by \$258,000, or 14%, from \$1,791,000 in 1996 to \$1,533,000 in 1997. This decrease is partially attributable to the fact that in Louisiana, gross production taxes on gas sales are computed on a volumetric basis rather than on the sales price, and gas volumes decreased by 811 MMCF, and partially due to a decrease of \$1,792,000 in oil sales in 1997 as compared with 1996.

Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization. Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense was \$7,856,000 in 1997. Due to the restating of property values to comply with fresh start accounting and the conversion from the successful efforts method to the full cost pool method for reporting oil and gas operations on the Effective Date, comparisons of 1997 depreciation, depletion, and amortization expense with prior years will not be meaningful.

General and Administrative Expense. General and administrative expenses increased by 13% from \$3,210,000 in 1996 to \$3,642,000 in 1997 due primarily to a decrease of \$616,000 in administrative costs charged to operations resulting from certain changes in billing practices implemented in 1997. Also contributing to this increase was a substantial increase in audit fees and contract labor incurred in connection with implementing the plan of reorganization. These increases were partially offset by lower salaries expenses and other cost savings implemented by management.

19

Provision for Doubtful Accounts. Provision for doubtful accounts decreased \$462,000 from \$5,158,000 in 1996 to \$4,696,000 in 1997. The provision for doubtful accounts for 1996 consists primarily of an allowance of a receivable in the amount of \$4,278,000 relating to the Tri-Deck legal proceeding (See "Legal Proceedings"). On January 20, 1998, the Company's rights to its claims against Tri-Deck were assigned to the Litigation Trust in consideration for the right to receive 50% of the net proceeds from the settlement of these claims. In addition, during 1996 the Company charged an additional \$880,000 to bad debts expense related to receivable deemed uncollectible as a result of the Reorganization Case.

Restructuring Charges and Reorganization Costs. During 1997, the Company incurred \$7,771,000 in reorganization costs, consisting of \$3,000,000 contributed to the Litigation Entity as called for in the Plan of Reorganization, \$1,515,000 reimbursed to DLB for restructuring costs it incurred on the Company's behalf, professional fees totaling \$2,213,000 and an accrual of \$1,043,000 for estimated future costs to be incurred in connection with the reorganization. During 1996, the Company incurred reorganization costs of \$7,345,000, consisting primarily of professional fees totaling \$2,594,000, and the write-off of previously capitalized debt issuance costs on the Senior Notes in the amount of \$3,834,000.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. During 1996, the Company recognized an impairment loss related to its oil and gas properties and long-lived assets in the amount of \$3,864,000. The 1996 impairment loss was due primarily to further declines in the Company's estimated oil and gas reserves and the write-down of certain other equipment to its appraised value.

Based primarily on an analysis of the independent engineers reserve report dated January 1, 1998, management has determined that there was no impairment of long-lived assets during 1997.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The primary capital commitment faced by the Company is the payments due under the ING Credit Facility. At December 31, 1998, the outstanding principal balance under the ING Credit Agreement was \$4,779,000. Pursuant to the terms of the ING Credit Agreement, the Company may elect to be charged at either (i) LIBOR plus 3% or (ii) ING's fluctuating "reference rate" plus 1.25%. A principal payment of \$1,000,00 is due March 31, 1999 with the remaining principal balance due at maturity on July 10, 1999. A loan commitment fee of \$100,000 was due on December 31, 1998 with a final commitment fee of \$250,000 due at July 10, 1999 if the loan has not been paid off by that date.

The Company did not pay the December 31, 1998 loan commitment fee and does not plan on paying the March 31, 1999 principal payment. During a year of record low product prices, the Company drastically reduced general and administration expenses, production costs, taxes and decreased the outstanding loan balance from \$10,000,000 at December 31, 1997 to \$4,779,000 at December 31, 1998. The

closing escrow of \$936,000 from the Castex sale is expected to break in early April further reducing the ING loan balance to \$3,843,000.

At this point, the Company is confident in its ability to service the loan and make monthly interest payments. Management has determined that it is in the best interest of the Company to utilize its cash flow to develop undeveloped reserves rather than making principal payments to ING. In the First Quarter of 1999, the Company completed seven workovers in WCBB adding approximately 900 BOPD and 1.8 MCFGPD. The gas production alone equates to a cost savings of an average \$60,000 a month since the Company no longer has to purchase gas lift gas for the field. With only 1% of the Company's reserves currently producing, Management believes that tapping into the non-producing reserves with the limited cash flow available is in the best interest of the Company's Stockholders.

The Company has requested ING to extend the Note for two years with principal reduction payments beginning in the Fourth Quarter of 1999. ING has agreed to extend the principal payment due on March 31, 1999 to April 30, 1999 to allow the parties time to negotiate the extension. The ability to negotiate an extension or terms thereof are uncertain at the date of this filing.

Net cash flow used by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 1998 was \$3,851,000 as compared to net cash flow used by operating activities of \$3,890,000 for year ended December 31, 1997. The Company expects to positively cash flow for 1999. After making reductions in production costs, finding costs, general and administrative expenses, taxes and interest expenses, the Company believes it has substantially improved its cash flow position.

Net cash provided in financing activities for 1998 was \$6,217,000 as compared to \$5,137,000 during 1997. The 1998 net cash flows from financing occurred as a result of the \$3,000,000 from the Stockholder Credit Facility and the net proceeds from the 1998 Rights Offering. The 1998 Rights offering after expenses yielded \$7,328,000 to the Company. Affiliated Stockholders exercised rights in the 1998 Rights Offering through the forgiveness of \$4.6 million in debt, thus netting \$3,217,000 to the Company for the net cash proceeds from the 1998 Rights Offering. Net cash provided in financing activities in 1997 was \$5,137,000.

#### Commitments and Contingencies

During 1995, the Company entered into a marketing agreement with Tri-Deck pursuant to which Tri-Deck would market all of the Company's oil and gas production. Subsequent to the agreement, James Florence, who served as both Tri-Deck's principal and WRT's Director of Marketing, assigned Tri-Deck's right to market the Company's oil production to Plains Marketing and assigned Tri-Deck's right to market the Company's gas production to Perry Gas. During early 1996, Tri-Deck failed to make payments to the Company attributable to several months of the Company's gas production. Consequently, on May 20, 1996, the Company filed with the Bankruptcy Court a Motion to Reject the Tri-Deck Marketing Agreement, and on May 29, 1996, the Company initiated an adversarial proceeding against Tri-Deck and Perry Gas. Perry Gas was the party which ultimately purchased the Company's gas production for the months in question.

On January 20, 1998, Gulfport and the Litigation Entity entered into a Clarification Agreement to clarify provisions of the Plan regarding the rights of the Company and the Litigation Entity to prosecute certain causes of action arising from the Tri-Deck matter. As a part of the Clarification Agreement, the Litigation Entity will intervene or be substituted as the actual party in interest in the Tri-Deck case and reimbursed the Company \$100,000 for legal fees incurred by the Company. As additional consideration for the contribution of this claim to the Litigation Entity, the company is entitled to receive 85% of the recovery of all monies held in the court registry and 50% of the recovery from all other Tri-Deck litigation pursued by the Litigation Entity.

On July 20, 1998, Sanchez Oil & Gas Corporation ("Sanchez") initiated litigation against the Company in the fifteenth Judicial District Court, Parish of Lafayette, State of Louisiana. In its petition, Sanchez alleged, among other things, that the Company was obligated, by virtue of the terms of a letter of intent, to grant a sublease to Sanchez for an undivided 50% interest in two of the Company's oil, gas and mineral leases covering lands located in the North Bayou Penchant area of Terrebonne Parish, Louisiana. Pursuant to this lawsuit, Sanchez is seeking specific performance by the Company of the contractual obligation that Sanchez alleges to be present in the letter of intent and monetary damages. The Company sold North Bayou Penchant in one of the 1998 sales (see Recent Events). In connection with the sale, the Company, Castex and Sanchez reached an amicable resolution of this dispute. Settlement papers are currently being drafted.

## Year 2000 Compliance

The Company has and will continue to make investments in software systems and applications to ensure it is Year 2000 compliant. It is not anticipated that the process of ensuring that the Company is Year 2000 compliant will have a material impact on the Company's financial condition.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998, 1997 AND 1996

Balance Sheets, December 31, 1998 and 1997	F-4
Statements of Operations, The Year Ended December 31, 1998,	
Periods July 11, 1997 to December 31, 1997, January 1,	
1997 to July 10, 1997, and the Year Ended December 31, 1996	F-5
Statements of Shareholders' Equity, The Year Ended December	
31, 1998, and the Periods July 11, 1997 to December 31, 1997,	
January 1, 1997 to July 10, 1997	F-6
Statements of Cash Flows, The Year Ended December 31, 1998,	
Periods July 11, 1997 to December 31, 1997, January 1, 1997	
to July 10, 1997, and the Year Ended December 31, 1996	F-7
Notes to Financial Statements	F-9

All financial statement schedules are omitted, as the required information is inapplicable or the information is presented in the financial statements or related notes.

F-1

# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT PRE-EMERGENCE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Gulfport Energy Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the period from January 1, 1997 to July 10, 1997 and the year ended December 31, 1996 of Gulfport Energy Corporation (formerly WRT Energy Corporation a Texas corporation) (the "Company"). These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the results of operations of Gulfport Energy Corporation and its cash flows for the period from January 1, 1997 to July 10, 1997 and the year ended December 31, 1996, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, on May 2, 1997, the Company's plan of reorganization (the "Plan") was confirmed by the bankruptcy court. The Plan was substantially consummated on July 11, 1997 and the Company emerged from bankruptcy. In connection with its emergence from bankruptcy, the Company adopted fresh start reporting. As a result of the adoption of fresh start reporting, the post-emergence financial statements are not comparable to the pre-emergence consolidated financial statements.

HOGAN & SLOVACEK

Oklahoma City, OK March 25, 1999

F-2

# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT POST-EMERGENCE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Gulfport Energy Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Gulfport Energy Corporation (a Delaware corporation) (formerly WRT Energy Corporation) (the "Company") as of December 31, 1998 and 1997, and the related statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 1998 and for the period from July 11, 1997 to December 31, 1997. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain

reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company's reserve report prepared as of January 1, 1999, which estimates proven reserves, indicates that substantial future capital expenditures are necessary to fully develop its total proven reserves of which only approximately 1% are currently producing. At December 31, 1998, the levels of production and the price of oil and gas revenues from these producing properties will not be sufficient to finance the estimated future capital expenditures necessary to fully develop the existing proven reserves, nor recover the carrying value of the Company's oil and natural gas properties. These conditions have caused us to change our unqualified opinion dated March 27,1998, regarding the financial position of the Company at December 31,1997. Management's plans regarding the financing of anticipated future development costs are also discussed in Note 2. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

In our opinion, except for the effect of the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Gulfport Energy Corporation as of December 31, 1998 and 1997, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year ended December 31, 1998 and for the period from July 11, 1997 to December 31, 1997, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, on May 2, 1997, the Company's plan of reorganization (the "Plan") was confirmed by the bankruptcy court. The Plan was substantially consummated on July 11, 1997 and the Company emerged from bankruptcy. In connection with its emergence from bankruptcy, the Company adopted fresh start reporting. As a result of the adoption of fresh start reporting, the post-emergence financial statements are not comparable to the pre-emergence consolidated financial statements.

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, on July 11, 1997, the Company changed its method of accounting for oil and natural gas properties.

HOGAN & SLOVACEK

Oklahoma City, OK March 25, 1999

F-3

# GULFPORT ENERGY CORPORATION BALANCE SHEETS

<TABLE>

	December 31,				
		1998		1997	
ASSETS					
Current assets:					
<\$>	<c></c>		<c></c>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	2,778,000	\$	1,203,000	
Cash, restricted		936,000		2,060,000	
Accounts receivable, net of allowance					
for doubtful accounts of \$4,607,000 and					
\$4,696,000 for 1998 and 1997, respectively		1,656,000		4,364,000	
Prepaid expenses and other		110,000		192,000	
Total current assets		5,480,000		7,819,000	
Property and equipment:					
Oil and natural gas properties		77,042,000		84,466,000	
Other property and equipment		1,867,000		1,577,000	
Accumulated depletion, depreciation,					
amortization and impairment reserve		(58, 919, 000)		(4,542,000)	
Property and equipment, net		19,990,000		81,501,000	
Other assets		2,098,000		3,026,000	
	\$	27,568,000	\$	92,346,000	

## LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Current liabilities: Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Current maturities of long-term debt	\$			6,346,000 2,192,000
Total current liabilities		8,684,000		8,538,000
Long-term debt		381,000		13,528,000
Total liabilities		9,065,000		22,066,000
Commitments and contingencies		-		-
Shareholders' equity:  Preferred stock - \$.01 par value, 1,000,000  authorized, none issued  Common stock - \$.50 par value, 250,000,000  authorized, 3,445,206 and 441,526		-		-
issued and outstanding at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively Paid-in capital Accumulated deficit		, ,		221,000 71,772,000 (1,713,000)
Total shareholders' equity		18,503,000		70,280,000
	\$ ====	27,568,000 	•	92, 346, 000

</TABLE>

See accompanying notes to financial statements.  ${\it F-4} \\$ 

## GULFPORT ENERGY CORPORATION STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

	Reorganize	d Company	Predecessor	Company	
	Year Ended, December 31,	ember 31, through		Year Ended December 31,	
	1998	1997	July 10, 1997	1996	
REVENUES:					
<s></s>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	
Gas sales	\$ 1,346,000	\$ 3,344,000	\$ 4,706,000	\$ 10,382,000	
Oil and condensate sales	6,952,000	6,412,000	5,432,000	13,637,000	
Other income, net	546,000	736,000	126,000	356,000	
	8,844,000	10,492,000	10,264,000	24,375,000	
COSTS AND EXPENSES:					
Operating expenses including					
production taxes	8,596,000	5,397,000	5,514,000	15,095,000	
Impairment of oil and gas properties Depletion, depreciation	, ,	-	-		
and amortization	4,325,000	4,542,000	3,314,000	7, 973, 000	
General and administrative	2,849,000	1,539,000	2,103,000	3,210,000	
Interest	1,534,000	727,000	1,106,000	5,562,000	
Provision for doubtful accounts	244,000		71,000	5,158,000	
Impairment of long-lived assets Minimum production	271,000	=	-	3,864,000	
guarantee obligation			_	5,555,000	
	67,949,000	12,205,000	12,108,000	46,417,000	
LOSS BEFORE REORGANIZATION EXPENSES					
AND INCOME TAXES	(59, 105, 000)	(1,713,000)	(1,844,000)	(22,042,000	
Reorganization expenses		-	7,771,000	7,345,000	
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES Income tax expense	(59,105,000) - 	(1,713,000)	(9,615,000) - 	(29, 387, 000	
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS BEFORE EXTRAORDINARY ITEM- EXTRAORDINARY GAIN	(59,105,000) -	(1,713,000) -	(9,615,000) 88,723,000	(29, 387, 000	
NET INCOME (LOSS) Preferred stock dividends, net	(59, 105, 000) -	(1,713,000)	79,108,000 (1,510,000)		
NET INCOME (LOSS) APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCK	\$ (59, 105, 000)	\$(1,713,000)	\$ 77,598,000	\$ (32, 233, 000)	

PER SHARE (LOSS) OF COMMON STOCK AMOUNTS

\$ (72.35) \$ (3.88) N/A N/A

AVERAGE COMMON AND COMMON EQUIVALENT SHARES OUTSTANDING

816,986 441,526 9,539,000 9,539,000

</TABLE>

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

F-5

# GULFPORT ENERGY CORPORATION STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

	Pur Course d	Common StockShares Amount		Additional	3	
	Preferred Stock			Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Treasury Stock
Balance,						
<s></s>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>
December 31, 1996						
Net income	-	-	-	-	79,108,000	-
Effect of fresh start reporting	\$(27,677,000)	12,537,108	126,000	32,201,000	78,454,000	332,000
Balance, July 11, 1997	-	22,076,315	221,000	71,772,000	-	-
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(1,713,000)	-
Reverse stock split	_	(21, 634, 789)		_ 	<u>-</u>	-
Balance, December 31, 1997	-	441,526	221,000	71, 772, 000	(1,713,000)	-
Stock Rights offering	-	3,003,680	1,502,000	5,826,000	-	-
Net loss	-	-		-	(59, 105, 000)	-
Balance, December 31, 1998	\$ - 	3,445,206 	\$ 1,723,000 =======	\$ 77,598,00	\$ (60,818,000)	\$ - 

  |  |  |  |  |  |See accompanying notes to financial statements.

# GULFPORT ENERGY CORPORATION STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

	Reorganized	Company	Predecessor Company		
	Year Ended December 31, 1998	July 11, through December 31, 1997	January 1, through July 10, 1997	Year Ended December 31, 1996	
<\$>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	
Net income (loss)	\$(59,105,000)	\$(1,713,000)	\$ 79,476,000	(29, 387, 000)	
Adjustments to reconcile					
net income					
(loss) to net cash provided by					
(used in) operating activities:					
Extraordinary item -					
Gain on debt discharge	-	-	(88, 723, 000)	-	
Impairment of oil and gas properties	50,130,000	-	-	-	
Depletion, depreciation					
and amortization	4,519,000	4,633,000	3,314,000	8,882,000	
Provision for doubtful					
accounts receivable	244,000	-	71,000	5,158,000	
Gain on sale of equipment	-	(587,000)	-	-	
Write-off of debt issuance costs					
and Senior Notes discount	-	-	=	5,263,000	
Impairment of long-lived assets	271,000	-	=	3,864,000	
Gain on sale of oil and gas properties	-	-	-	(5,000)	
Write-off of accounts receivable					
included in production costs	-	-	=	(1,172,000)	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:					

(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable	2,464,000	(1,077,000)	307,000	(515,000)
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses	82,000	(117,000)	(331,000)	132,000
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable				
and accrued liabilities	(2,456,000)	556,000	301,000	11,365,000
Increase in minimum production				
guarantee obligation	-	-	_	5,555,000
Net cash provided by (used in)				
operating activities	(3,851,000)	1,695,000	(5,585,000)	9,140,000
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Additions to cash held in escrow	(280,000)	(133,000)	(22,000)	(121,000)
Capital expenditures	(1,330,000)	(5,644,000)	(2,562,000)	(4,823,000)
Proceeds from sale of oil and gas properties	8,966,000	35,000	-	5,000
Proceeds from sale of equipment	49,000	2,081,000	-	-
Decrease in other assets	114,000	138,000	-	-
Net cash provided by (used in)				
investing activities	7,519,000	(3,523,000)	(2,584,000)	(4, 939, 000)
Cash flows from financing activities:	7,328,000			
Proceeds from Rights offering Proceeds from borrowings	7,328,000 35,000	_	15,000,000	-
Proceeds from borrowings Payment of pre-petition liabilities	35,000	-	15,000,000	-
and administrative claims			(0 10E 000)	
and administrative claims  Proceeds for issuance of warrants	-	-	(8,105,000)	-
	(10,580,000)	(20,000)	13,300,000 (15,014,000)	(130,000)
Principle payments on borrowing Payment of loan origination fees	(10, 380, 000)	(200,000)	(15,014,000)	(130,000)
rayment of loan origination fees		(200,000)		
Net cash provided by (used in)				
financing activities	(3,217,000)	(220,000)	5,181,000	(130,000)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and				
cash equivalents	451,000	(2,048,000)	(2,988,000)	4,071,000
Cash and cash equivalents -	•			, ,
beginning of period	3,263,000	5,311,000	5,679,000	1,608,000
Cash and cash equivalents - end				
of period	\$ 3,714,000 ======	\$ 3,263,000 =======	\$ 2,691,000 ======	\$ 5,679,000 ======

 = |  |  |  |See accompanying notes to the financial statements. F-7

GULFPORT ENERGY CORPORATION STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

	Reorganized Company			Predecessor Company			γ
	Year Ended December 31, 1998	July thro	11, ough aber 31,	th Ju	 uary 1, rough ly 10, 1997	Decemb	Ended ber 31,
	<c></c>	 <c></c>		- <c></c>		<c></c>	
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash	102	102		102		102	
Flow Information:							
Interest paid	\$ 1,334,000	\$ 50	5,000	\$	28,000	\$	-
Supplemental Information of Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities:							
Accrued dividends on preferred stock	-		-	(1	,510,000)	(	712,000)

</TABLE>

See accompanying notes to financial statements. F-8

> GULFPORT ENERGY CORPORATION NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 1998 AND 1997

## 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Business and Reorganization Proceedings

Gulfport Energy Corporation (the "Company"), formerly known as WRT Energy Corporation ("WRT"), is a domestic independent energy company engaged in the production of oil and natural gas. On July 11, 1997, the Company's subsidiaries were merged into the Company. On the Effective Date of the reorganization, the state of incorporation of the Company was changed from the State of Texas to the State of Delaware. Prior to July 11, 1997, the financial statements represented the consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries.

As discussed in Note 3, on February 14, 1996, (the "Petition Date"), the Company filed a voluntary petition with the Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Louisiana (the "Bankruptcy Court") for protection under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. On May 2, 1997, the Bankruptcy Court confirmed an Amended Plan of Reorganization (the "Plan") for the Company and on the Effective Date an order of substantial consummation regarding the Plan became final and nonappealable. On the Effective Date, the Debtor was merged with and into a newly formed Delaware corporation named "WRT Energy Corporation" which on March 30, 1998 underwent a name change to "Gulfport Energy Corporation". Effective July 11, 1997 (the "Election Date"), the Company implemented fresh start reporting, as defined by the Accounting Standards Division of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Statement of Position Number 90-7, "Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization Under the Bankruptcy Code" ("SOP 90-7").

#### Principles of Consolidation

In November 1995, the Company formed a wholly owned subsidiary, WRT Technologies, Inc., which was established to own and operate the Company's proprietary, radioactive, cased-hole logging technology. Prior to July 11, 1997, the financial statements were consolidated and include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary, WRT Technologies, Inc., which was merged into the Company on that date. All significant intercompany transactions were eliminated during the consolidation periods.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents for purposes of the statement of cash flows.

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

At December 31, 1998, the carrying amounts of all financial instruments approximate their fair market values.

#### Oil and Natural Gas Properties

Before July 11, 1997, the Company used the successful efforts method for reporting oil and gas operations. Commencing with the reorganization, the Company converted to the full cost pool method of accounting.

#### Commencing July 11, 1997

In connection with the implementation of fresh start reporting, as described in Note 3, the Company implemented the full cost pool method of accounting for oil and gas operations. Accordingly, all costs including nonproductive costs and certain general and administrative costs associated with acquisition, exploration and development of oil and natural gas properties are capitalized. Net capitalized costs are limited to the estimated future net revenues, after income taxes, discounted at 10% per year, from proven oil and natural gas reserves and the cost of the properties not subject to amortization. Such capitalized costs, including the estimated future development costs and site remediation costs, if any, are depleted by an equivalent units-of-production method, converting natural gas to barrels at the ratio

of six MCF of natural gas to one barrel of oil. No gain or loss is recognized upon the disposal of oil and gas properties, unless such dispositions significantly alter the relationship between capitalized costs and proven oil and natural gas reserves.

During 1998, the Company recorded a loss impairment on its oil and gas properties of \$50,130,000. This impairment reduced the carrying value of the oil and gas properties to \$18,405,000, which is \$9,018,000 less than the 10\$ discounted present value of these properties. Management elected to reduce the carrying value of the properties below the 10\$ discounted present value due to the significant amount of undeveloped reserves included in total proven reserves.

Included in costs capitalized to the full cost pool are \$417,000 in general and administrative costs incurred in 1997. General and administrative costs capitalized to the full cost pool are those incurred directly related to exploration and development activities such as geological costs and other administrative costs associated with overseeing the exploration and development activities. All general and administrative costs not directly associated with exploration and development activities were charged to expense as they were incurred. During 1998, no general and administrative costs were capitalized to the full cost pool.

Oil and natural gas properties not subject to amortization consist of the cost of undeveloped leaseholds. These costs are reviewed periodically by management for impairment, with the impairment provision included in the cost of oil and natural gas properties subject to amortization. Factors considered by management in its impairment assessment include drilling results by the Company and other operators, the terms of oil and gas leases not held by production, and available funds for exploration and development. During 1998, \$5,097,000 of undeveloped leasehold cost was determined to be impaired and was included in the cost of oil and gas properties subject to amortization, and in the \$5,130,000 improvement of oil and gas properties. At December 31, 1998, the Company had no oil and gas properties not subject to amortization.

### Prior to July 11, 1997

Prior to July 11, 1997, the Company followed the successful efforts method of accounting for its oil and gas operations. Under the successful efforts method, costs of productive wells, development dry holes and productive leases are capitalized and amortized on a unit-of-production basis over the life of the remaining proven reserves as estimated by the Company's independent engineers. The Company's estimate of future dismantlement and abandonment costs was considered in computing the aforementioned amortization.

Cost centers for amortization purposes were determined based on a reasonable aggregation of properties with common geological structures or stratigraphic conditions, such as a reservoir or field. The Company performed a review for impairment of proven oil and gas properties on a depletable unit basis when circumstances suggest the need for such a review. For each depletable unit determined to be impaired, an impairment loss equal to the difference between the carrying value and the fair value of the depletable unit was recognized. Fair value, on a depletable unit basis, was estimated to be the present value of expected future net cash flows computed by applying estimated future production of oil and gas reserves over the economic lives of the reserves.

Exploration expenses, including geological, geophysical and costs of carrying and retaining undeveloped properties were charged to expense as incurred

Unproven properties were assessed periodically and a loss was recognized to the extent, if any, that the cost of the property had been impaired. If proven reserves were not discovered within one year after drilling was completed, costs were charged to expense.

Other Property and Equipment

Depreciation of other property and equipment is provided on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives of the related assets, which range from 7 to  $30\ years$ .

F-10

Implementation of Statement of Accounting Standards No. 121

During December 31, 1996, the Company incurred a non-cash charge of \$2,545,000 related to the impairment of its oil and gas properties as well as the impairment of some of its field equipment.

During 1996, the Company also incurred a non-cash charge of \$1,319,000 related to the impairment of its office and field equipment. Of this balance, \$815,000 relates primarily to a write down of the Company's office equipment and computer software to its appraised fair market value, and the balance of \$504,000 relates to a write down of the wireline equipment to its appraised fair value

During 1998, the Company abandoned \$271,000 in software costs.

Earnings (Loss) per Share

Earnings (loss) per share computations are calculated on the weighted-average of common shares and common share equivalents outstanding during the year. Common stock options and warrants are considered to be common share equivalents and are used to calculate earnings per common and common share equivalents except when they are anti-dilutive. See Note 11 for effects of reverse stock split.

Income Taxes

The Company uses the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under the asset and liability method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax basis of existing assets and liabilities and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are based on enacted tax rates applicable to the future period when those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income during the period the rate change is enacted. Deferred tax assets are recognized in income in the year in which realization becomes determinable.

Revenue Recognition

Natural gas revenues are recorded in the month produced using the entitlement method, whereby any production volumes received in excess of the Company's ownership percentage in the property are recorded as a liability. If less than the Company's entitlement is received, the underproduction is recorded as a receivable. Oil revenues are recognized in the month produced.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company operates in the oil and natural gas industry principally in the state of Louisiana with sales to refineries, re-sellers such as pipeline companies, and local distribution companies. While certain of these customers are affected by periodic downturns in the economy in general or in their specific segment of the natural gas industry, the Company believes that its level of credit-related losses due to such economic fluctuations has been immaterial and will continue to be immaterial to the Company's results of operations in the long term. Unrelated to economic fluctuations, during 1996, the Company incurred a bad debt in the amount of \$4,278,000 related to marketing of its oil and gas by Tri-Deck Oil & Gas Company ("Tri-Deck"). See Notes 5 and 15 for further discussion. During 1998, the Company incurred a bad debt of \$244,000 related to a disputed pre-bankruptcy receivable which was determined to be uncollectible.

The Company maintains cash balances at several banks. Accounts at each institution are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to \$100,000. At December 31, 1998 and 1997 the Company held cash in excess of insured limits in these banks totaling \$3,983,000 and \$3,455,000 respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 1998, approximately 76%, of the Company's revenues from oil and natural gas sales were attributable to five primary customers: Equiva Trading Company, Gathering and Energy Marketing,

Corp., Black Hills Energy Resources, Inc., Prior Energy Company, and Plains Marketing, L.P. During the year ended December 31, 1997, approximately 99%, of the Company's revenues from oil and natural gas sales were attributable to sales to five primary customers: Prior Energy Company, Wickford Energy Marketing, Inc., Gathering and Energy Marketing Corp., Texaco Trading and Transportation and Mobil Oil Corporation. During the year ended December 31, 1996,

F-11

approximately 89% of the Company's revenues from oil and gas sales were attributable to sales to five primary customers: Tri-Deck, Plains Marketing and Transportation, Inc., Texas-Ohio Gas, Inc., Riverside Pipeline Company and Prior Energy Company.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The financial statements are highly dependent on oil and gas reserve estimates, which are inherently imprecise. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Stock Options and Warrant Agreements

Effective at the date of reorganization, all previously issued stock option plans of the Company were terminated and all outstanding options were canceled. On that date, a Warrant Agreement, mandated under the Plan, went into effect. These warrants are exercisable at \$10 per share and will expire on July 11, 2002. The Plan authorized the issuance of up to 1,104,000 warrants. As of December 31, 1998, there were 221,000 warrants issued and outstanding.

Commitments and Contingencies

Liabilities for loss contingencies arising from claims, assessments, litigation or other sources are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated.

#### 2. REALIZATION OF THE CARRYING VALUE OF OIL AND GAS PROPERTIES

As of January 1, 1999, based on the Company's reserve report, the Company had 24,837,000 barrel of oil equivalents ("BOE") in total proven reserves of which proven developed producing reserves were only 621,400 BOE. The Company's reserve report prepared as of that date anticipated future capital expenditures of \$116,000,000 to fully develop its total proven reserves.

The Company sold certain oil and gas producing properties during 1998 and realized approximately \$9.8 million in connection with these sales with most of the funds used to reduce the debt of the Company. December 31, 1998 oil sales prices were at levels where potential funding of oil and gas operations were limited; however, management believes that recent increases in oil and gas prices will provide the availability of future funding for the development of the Company's reserves. Historically, the oil industry has experienced periods of price declines which effect the development of reserves but these periods have tended to be short lived. Management believes the current situation of depressed oil prices will adjust upward and the carrying value of the oil and gas properties, as reflected in the financial statements, will be realized by future operations.

## 3. REORGANIZATION PROCEEDING

On February 14, 1996, the Company filed a voluntary petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Louisiana (the "Bankruptcy Court") for reorganization pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Federal Bankruptcy Code (the "Reorganization Proceeding"). During the balance of 1996 and a portion of 1997, the Company operated as a debtor-in-possession, continuing in possession of its estate and the operation of its business and management of its property. On May 5, 1997, the Bankruptcy Court confirmed an Amended Plan of Reorganization (the "Plan") for the Company. On July 11, 1997, the Bankruptcy Court determined that the Plan had been substantially consummated, and the Bankruptcy Court's order of substantial consummation became final and nonappealable on July 11, 1997 (the "Effective Date").

As a result of the consummation of the Plan and due to (1) the reallocation of the voting rights of equity interest owners and (2) the reorganization value of the Company's assets being less than the total of all post-petition liabilities and allowed claims, the effects of the Reorganization Proceeding were accounted for in accordance with fresh start reporting standards promulgated under SOP 90-7.

In conjunction with implementing fresh start reporting, management determined a reorganized value of the Company's assets and liabilities in the following manner:

F-12

To determine the value allocated to the Company's assets, the Company looked to the fair value of its equity securities. On the date of reorganization there were 22,076,315 shares outstanding to which a value of \$71,993,000 or \$3.26 per share was assigned. The Company believes that the 1997 Rights Offering of 3.8 million shares at \$3.50 per share, in addition to approximately 2,655,000 shares issued to fully secured creditors in exchange for the conversion of their fully secured claims to equity at an exchange rate of \$3.50 per share, help to support the \$3.26 value per share used in the fresh start accounting. Once the value of the Company was established, the value allocated to assets complied with the procedures outlined in APB Opinion 16.

DLB Oil & Gas, Inc. ("DLB") contributed certain interests previously owned by Texaco Exploration and Production. Inc. ("TEPI") in the West Cote Blanche Bay

Field ("WCBB Assets") along with a \$1,000,000 deposit to a plugging and abandonment trust for 5,616,300 shares of the Gulfport's common stock. This transaction was recorded at DLB's net basis in the WCBB Assets of \$15,144,000. In connection with this acquisition, the Company assumed the obligation to contribute approximately \$18,000 per month through March 2004 to this plugging and abandonment trust and the obligation to plug a minimum of 20 wells per year for 20 years commencing March 11, 1997. TEPI retained a security interest in production from these properties and the plugging and abandonment trust until such time the Company's obligations for plugging and abandonment to TEPI have been fulfilled. Once the plugging and abandonment trust is fully funded, the Company can access it for use in plugging and abandonment charges associated with the property.

In accordance with the Plan, \$3,000,000 was set aside by the Company to form a Litigation Entity. The Company owns a 12% interest in this Litigation Entity. The entire \$3,000,000 was included in reorganization expense on the financial statements for the six months and ten day period ended July 10, 1997. No value was assigned to the Company's interest in the Litigation Entity on the reorganized balance sheet as management was not able to determine with any certainty the amount, if any, that the Company might recover from this investment.

Current assets and liabilities were recorded at book value, which approximates their fair market value. Long-term liabilities were recorded at present values of amounts to be paid and the pre-consummation stockholders' deficit was adjusted to reflect the par value of pre-consummation equity interests and the recognition of \$88,723,000 in debt forgiveness income. On the Effective Date, the shareholders' deficit was closed into paid in capital and the Company started with no deficit or retained earnings.

It should be noted that the reorganized value was determined by management on the basis of its best judgment of what it considers to be current fair market value of the Company's assets and liabilities after reviewing relevant facts concerning the price at which similar assets are being sold between willing buyers and sellers. However, there can be no assurances that the reorganized value and the fair market value are comparable and the difference between the Company's calculated reorganized value and the fair market value may, in fact, be material.

As of July 11, 1997, the effect on the Company's balance sheet of consummating the Plan and implementing the fresh start reporting was: F-13

<TABLE>

liabilities subject to

	July 11, 1997 Prior To Consummati	Consummation  Adjustments	Stock n Rights Offering (2)	Stock Issued For Secured Debt (3)	Texaco Claim Paid With Stock (4)	Acquisition of WCBB Assets (5)	Refinancing Of	Paymemt of Claims and Litigation Trust (6&7)	Reorg Ba	anized lance Theet
(in thousands except per share amounts)	_	_		_	_	_		_		
ASSETS Current assets:	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	•
Cash and cash										
_	\$ 3,714	•	\$ 13,300	Ş	\$	\$	\$ (3,247)	\$ (8,455)	\$	5,312
Accounts receivable, net Prepaid expenses and other	3,281 870									3,287 870
Total current assets	7,87	 1	13,300				(3,247)	(8, 455)		9,469
Property and equipment:										
Properties subject to depletion Properties not subject	80,120	0 (20,187)				15,144				75,077
to depletion Other property, plant	-	- 5,000								5,000
and equipment	5,300	0 (2,362)								2,938
Less accumulated depreciation,	85,420	0 (17,549)				15,144				83,015
depletion and amortization	(29, 274	4) 29,274								_
	56,146	6 11,725				15,144				83,015
Other assets	1,231	1 (285)					94			1,040
	\$ 65,248		\$ 13,300	\$	\$	\$ 15,144	\$ (3,153)	\$ (8,455)		93,524
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities: Accounts payable and										
	\$ 9,545	5 \$ (757)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (1,238)	\$ (1,776)	\$	5,774
Pre-petition secured debt	16,915	5	·	·	·	·	(16, 915)		·	_
Total current	26,460	 0 (757)					(18 153)	\$ (1,776)		5,774

Unsecured debt	136,818	(123, 845)		(3,332)	(5, 962)			(3, 679) -
Long-term liabilities: Other non-current liabilities Notes payable		757					15,000	757 15,000
		757					15,000	15,757
Stockholders' equity (deficit):								
Common stock	95	5	38	9	17	57		221
Preferred stock Additional paid in	27,677	(27, 677)						-
capital	39,570	(5, 415)	13,262	3,323	5,945	15,087		71,772
Treasury stock	(333)	333	,	•	,	•		, <u> </u>
Retained earnings	(165,039)	168,039						(3,000) -
	(98,030)	135,285	13,300	3,332	5,962	15,144		(3,000) 71,993
	\$ 65,248	\$ 11,440	\$ 13,300 \$	 \$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,144	(3,153)	\$(8,455) \$ 93,524

</TABLE>

compromise:

F-14

Substantial consummation adjustments are those involving cash transactions occurring on the Effective Date. Fresh Start Reporting adjustments are those involving non-cash transactions occurring on the Effective Date.

In accordance with the provisions of the Plan, the Company:

- (1) Issued to its unsecured creditors, on account of their allowed claims, an aggregate of 10 million shares of the Company's Common Stock. At the Effective Date, 1,412,000 of the above-described shares were held in escrow to cover the settlement of disputed unsecured claims in the amount of \$18,339,000.
- (2) Issued 3,800,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock for \$13,300,000 in cash in connection with a stock rights offering to its unsecured creditors.
- (3) Issued 952,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock in payment of \$3,332,000 in secured claims
- (4) Issued 1,703,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock in payment of a \$5,961,000 claim purchased by DLB from Texaco Exploration and Production, Inc. ("TEPI").
- (5) Issued 5,616,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock in exchange for the WCBB Assets acquired by DLB from TEPI along with the associated P&A trust fund and associated funding and plugging obligations. In connection with this transaction the Company transferred to TEPI certain assets and non-producing acreage.
- (6) The Company paid \$2,492,000 in administrative claims and \$2,963,000 in secured and priority claims.
- (7) The Company transferred \$3,000,000 to the Litigation Entity along with the Company's rights to any and all causes of action, claims, rights of actions, suits or proceedings which have been or could be asserted by it except for (a) the action to recover unpaid production proceeds payable to the Company by Tri-Deck Oil & Gas Company and (b) the foreclosure action to recover title to certain assets (See Note 17 regarding the subsequent transfer of these claims to the Litigation Entity). This transfer was treated as a pre-reorganization expense on the financial statements for the six months and ten day period ended July 10, 1997. The Company owns a 12% economic interest in the Litigation Entity and the remainder of the economic interests in the Litigation Entity was allocated to former unsecured creditors based on their ownership percentage of the 10 million shares as described above.

## 4. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Subsequent to the Effective Date of the Plan of Reorganization, substantially all of the Company's former unsecured creditors became shareholders. In the ordinary course of business, the Company still conducts business activities with a substantial number of these shareholders.

DLB paid \$1,515,000 in reorganization costs incurred on the Company's behalf, which was satisfied by the issuance of stock in connection with the Company's 1997 stock rights offering. These costs were included in reorganization cost incurred during the six months and 10 days ended July 10, 1997. In addition, DLB charged the Company \$465,000 for management services provided to it during the period July 11, 1997 through December 31, 1997. During the period May 1, 1997 through July 10, 1997, DLB was the operator on the WCBB properties in which the Company had a 50% working interest at that time. Subsequent to that date, the WCBB properties were contributed to the Company for common stock, as described above, and the Company became the operator of these properties.

DLB Oil & Gas, Inc. ("DLB") and Wexford Management LLC ("Wexford") were, along with the Company, co-proponents in the Plan of Reorganization. As of December 31, 1997, DLB and Wexford owned approximately 49% and 8%, respectively, of the Company's outstanding common stock. During April of 1998, DLB distributed all of its shares in the Company to its shareholders prior to its acquisition by Chesapeake Energy Corporation.

Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Administrative Services Agreement, DLB agreed to make available to the Company personnel, services, facilities, supplies, and equipment as the Company may need, including executive and managerial, accounting, auditing and tax, engineering, geological and geophysical, legal, land and administrative and clerical services. The initial term was one year beginning on the date of the Administrative Services Agreement. The Administrative Services Agreement continues for successive one-year periods unless terminated by either party by written notice no less than 60 days prior to the anniversary date of the Administrative Services Agreement. During the year ended December 31, 1997, the services of Gary C. Hanna and Ronald D. Youtsey, the Company's then President and Secretary, respectively, were provided under this agreement. On April 28, 1998, in connection with the acquisition of DLB by Chesapeake Energy Corporation, the obligations of DLB under the Administrative Services Agreement were assigned to DLB Equities, L.L.C. Currently, the services of Mike Liddell, Chief Executive Officer, and Mark Liddell, President, are provided under the Administrative Services Agreement. DLB Equities, L.L.C. is owned equally by Mike and Mark Liddell.

In return for the services rendered under the Administrative Services Agreement, the Company pays a monthly service charge based on the pro rata proportion of the Company's use of services, personnel, facilities, supplies and equipment provided by DLB Equities, L.L.C. as determined by DLB Equities, L.L.C. in a good-faith, reasonable manner. The service charge was calculated as the sum of (i) DLB Equities, L.L.C.'s fully allocated internal costs of providing personnel and/or performing services, (ii) the actual costs to DLB Equities, L.L.C. of any third-party services required, (iii) the equipment, occupancy, rental, usage, or depreciation and interest charges, and (iv) the actual cost to DLB Equities, L.L.C. of supplies. The fees provided for in the Administrative Services Agreement were approved by the Bankruptcy Court as part of the Plan and the Company believes that such fees are comparable to those that would be charged by an independent third party. The Company paid fees totaling \$969,000 during 1998.

At December 31, 1997, Gulfport owed DLB approximately \$1,600,000 for services rendered pursuant to the Administrative Services Agreement. In March 1998, in order to facilitate the acquisition of DLB Oil & Gas, Inc. by Chesapeake Energy Corp., Mike Liddell, Mark Liddell and Charles Davidson purchased the receivable from DLB for its then outstanding amount of approximately \$1,600,000. Each of Messrs. Mike and Mark Liddell and Mr. Davidson subsequently transferred his portion of the receivable to Liddell Investments, L.L.C., Liddell Holdings, L.L.C. and CD Holdings, L.L.C., respectively. The receivable accrued interest at the rate of LIBOR plus 3% per annum.

Liddell Investments, L.L.C., Liddell Holdings, L.L.C., and CD Holdings, L.L.C., exercised 632,484 rights in the November 20, 1998 Rights Offering through debt forgiveness.

During the year ended December 31, 1998, the Company sold \$2,058,000 in oil to a DLB subsidiary. During the period July 11, 1997 through December 31, 1997, the Company sold \$4,335,000 in oil to a DLB subsidiary GEMCO. These sales occurred at prices which the Company could be expected to obtain from an unrelated third party.

#### Stockholder Credit Facility

On August 18, 1998, the Company entered into the Stockholder Credit Facility, a \$3,000,000 revolving credit facility with Liddell Investments, L.L.C., Liddell Holdings, L.L.C., CD Holdings, L.L.C. and Wexford Entities (collectively "Affiliated Stockholders"). Borrowing under the Stockholder Credit Facility was due on August 17, 1999 and bore interest at LIBOR plus 3%. Pursuant to the Stockholder Credit Facility, the Company paid the Affiliated Eligible Stockholders an aggregate commitment fee equal to \$60,000. The Company repaid \$2,000,000 of principal under the Amended ING Credit Agreement with borrowings under the Stockholder Credit Facility. The remaining \$1,000,000 was used for working capital and general corporate purposes. The Affiliated Stockholders paid the Subscription Price for 1,200,000 Shares in the Rights Offering through the forgiveness of the amount owed to them under the Stockholder Credit Facility.

5. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT <TABLE>

		1998		1997
<s></s>	<c></c>		<c></c>	
Oil and gas properties	\$	77,042,000	\$	84,466,000
Office furniture and fixtures		1,390,000		1,100,000
Building		217,000		217,000
Land		260,000 		260,000 
Total property and equipment		78,909,000		86,043,000
Accumulated depreciation, depletion Amortization and impairment reserve		(58, 919, 000)		(4,542,000)
Property and equipment, net	\$	19,990,000	\$	81,501,000

 ===== | ======== | ==== |  |The major categories of property and equipment and related accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization as of December 31, 1998 and 1997 are as follows:

On the Effective Date, DLB transferred its interest in the WCBB Assets to the Company in exchange for one hundred thousand (100,000) shares of the Company Common Stock and the assumption by the Company of certain plugging and abandonment obligations related to the West Cote Blanche Bay Field (see Note 3 for further details). This transaction was valued at \$12,987,000, which included a \$1,000,000 plugging and abandonment escrow account required by TEPI. In connection with this transaction, DLB paid an additional \$2,157,000 in development costs on these properties for which it received an additional 12,320 shares of the Company Common Stock.

During December 1997, the Company sold substantially all of its field equipment for approximately \$2,100,000\$ resulting in a net gain on the sale of \$594,000\$.

During 1998, the Company sold oil and gas properties totaling \$8,800,000, which was treated as a reduction of the full cost pool.

#### 6. PROVISION FOR ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS

The Company has certain amounts due from transactions occurring prior to bankruptcy. The collectibility of these receivables is uncertain. Accordingly, all such amounts due have been fully covered by the allowance for doubtful accounts which totals \$4,607,000 at December 31, 1998.

During the year ended December 31, 1998 and during the period ended July 10, 1997, the Company charged \$244,000 and \$71,000, respectively, to bad debts expense.

#### F-17

#### 7. OTHER ASSETS

Other assets as of December 31, 1998 and 1997, consist of the following:  $<\!$  CAPTION>

		December 31,			
			1998	1997	_
<s></s>		<c></c>		<c></c>	_
	Plugging and abandonment escrow account				
	on the Lac Blanc properties - See Note 15	\$	-	\$ 871,000	
	Plugging and abandonment escrow account				
	on the WCBB properties - See Note 15		1,453,000	1,203,000	
	Prepaid loan fees, net of amortization		103,000	296,000	
	CD's securing Letter of credit		400,000	400,000	
	Deposits		142,000	256,000	
		\$	2,098,000	\$ 3,026,000	_
		====			=

#### </TABLE>

### 8. RESTRUCTURING CHARGES AND REORGANIZATION COSTS

During 1996, the Company incurred \$7,345,000 in reorganization costs, primarily consisting of professional fees totaling \$2,594,000 and the write-off of previously capitalized debt issuance costs on the Senior Notes (herein defined) in the amount of \$3,834,000.

During 1997, the Company incurred \$7,771,000 in reorganization costs, consisting of \$3,000,000 contributed to the Litigation Trust (See Note 17 for further details), \$1,515,000 in reimbursements to DLB for restructuring costs it incurred on the Company's behalf, professional fees totaling \$2,213,000, and an accrual of \$1,044,000 for estimated future costs to be incurred in connection with the reorganization.

#### 9. LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

As of December 31, 1998 and 1997, a break down of long term debt is as follows: << TABLE> << CAPTION>

			1998		1997
<s></s>		<c></c>		<c></c>	
	Long-term debt:				
	Credit facility	\$	4,779,000	\$	15,000,000
	Priority tax claims		186,000		527,000
	Building loan		210,000		193,000
			5,175,000		15,720,000
	Less current portion	n	4,794,000		2,192,000
				====	
		\$	381,000	\$	13,528,000

</TABLE>

## Credit Facility

At December 31, 1996, WRT had borrowings outstanding of \$15,000,000, the maximum amount of borrowings available under the Nederlanden (U.S.) Capital Corporation ("INCC") ("INCC Credit Facility"). Amounts outstanding under the INCC Credit Facility bore interest at an annual rate selected by WRT of either (I) the London Inter-Bank offered rate ("LIBOR") plus 3%, or (ii) the Lender's prime lending rate plus 1.25%.

of the INCC Credit Facility. Accordingly, the Company classified the debt as current at December 31, 1996. While in bankruptcy, INCC was stayed from enforcing certain remedies provided for in the ING Credit Agreement and the indenture. On the Effective Date, this loan was repaid in full along with \$3,154,000 in accrued interest and legal fees.

F-18

On the Effective Date, the Company entered into a new \$15,000,000 Credit Agreement (the "ING Credit Agreement") with ING (U.S.) Capital Corporation (successor to INCC) ("ING") that was secured by substantially all of the Company's assets. Initial loan fees of \$188,000 were paid on or prior to closing with two additional loan fee payments of \$100,000; a \$100,000 payment was made on December 31, 1997 and a loan fee of \$100,000 was due on or before December 31, 1998. The loan matures on July 11, 1999, with interest to be paid quarterly and with three interim principal payments of \$1,000,000 each to be made in September 1998, December 1998, and March 1999. This loan bears interest at the option of the Company at either (1) LIBOR plus 3% or (2) ING's fluctuating "reference rate" plus 1.25%. This loan is collateralized by substantial all of the Company's assets. At December 31, 1998 this rate was 8.6875%.

On August 18, 1998, the Company amended the ING Credit Agreement (the "Amended ING Credit Agreement") to, among other things: (i) delete the coverage ratio set forth in the ING Credit Agreement, and (ii) require interest payments to be made by the Company on a monthly basis. The principal amount and the interest rate set forth in the ING Credit Agreement remain unchanged. In connection with the execution and delivery of the Amended ING Credit Agreement, ING waived certain provisions of the ING Credit Agreement to permit certain waivers, the Company and ING further agreed that (a) the Company will pay a \$250,000 amendment fee to ING on July 11, 1999, provided that such amendment fee will be waived if the amounts owed to ING under the Amended ING Credit Agreement have been paid in full by July 10, 1999; and (b) the Company shall issue warrants to ING, in that such warrants will permit ING to purchase 2% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock on a fully diluted basis after giving effect to future Rights Offerings.

On November 20, 1998, the Company and ING entered into a letter agreement wherein ING consented to the Castex sale and the Company agreed to issue ING warrants to purchase .05% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock on a fully diluted basis if (1) the Company elected not to complete the November 20, 1998 Rights Offering, (2) did not spend the proceeds from the Rights Offering as specified in the letter agreement or (3) raise less than \$10,000,000 in the November 20, 1998 Rights Offering. The Rights Offering was completed and raised \$7,500,000. On November 20, 1998, ING was issued the additional warrants.

#### Priority Tax Claims

In accordance with the Plan of Reorganization, priority taxes totaling \$703,000 are to be paid in four annual installments without interest. The first annual installment of \$176,000 was made on the Effective Date. The second annual installment of \$186,091 was paid July 1998. During August 1998, priority taxes for severance taxes totaling \$150,251 were paid to the State of Louisiana to release liens on the West Cote Blanche Bay field.

## Building Loan

During early 1996, the Company entered into a loan agreement with MC Bank & Trust Company to finance the acquisition of land and a building located in Lafayette, Louisiana. The original loan balance was \$215,000 and called for monthly principal and interest payments totaling \$3,000 per month through 2005 with the unpaid balance due at that time. The loan paid interest at 9.5% per annum and was collateralized by the land and building.

During 1998, the Company renegotiated this loan agreement with MC Bank & Trust Company. The Company borrowed an additional \$35,000 for building improvements. The loan agreement calls for monthly principal and interest payments of \$2,900 per month through March 2008. The loan bears interest at 9.5% per annum and is collateralized by the land and building.

Long Term Debt Maturities

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

Following are the maturities of long-term  $\,$  liabilities for each of the next five years:

<s></s>	<c></c>
1999	\$4,794,000
2000	202,000
2001	18,000
2002	20,000
2003	22,000
Thereafter	119,000
	\$5,175,000

</TABLE>

## 10. PREFERRED STOCK OFFERING

The Preferred Stock for WRT before bankruptcy had a liquidation preference of \$25 per share and was convertible, at the option of the holder, into 2.083 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The Preferred Stock was not redeemable before October 20, 1995. Dividends on the Preferred Stock were to accrue and were cumulative from October 20, 1993, and were payable quarterly in arrears when declared by the Board of Directors. The Company was precluded under the terms of the Senior Note Indenture and INCC Credit Facility from declaring any

dividends during 1996. As a result of this and the bankruptcy proceedings, the Company did not accrue dividends payable on its Preferred Stock during 1996. In addition, accrued and unpaid Preferred Stock dividends at December 31, 1995 have been reversed in the 1996 financial statements. All outstanding Preferred Stock issued by WRT was canceled effective July 11, 1997, and the former preferred shareholders were given Warrants exercisable at a price of \$10 per share for a total of 221,000 shares in the Company Common Stock.

#### 11. COMMON STOCK OPTIONS AND WARRANTS

All outstanding stock options and warrants issued prior to July 11, 1997, were canceled in connection with the Plan of Reorganization.

In connection with the Plan of Reorganization, new warrants for 221,000 shares of the Company Common Stock were issued to the former shareholders of WRT. Under the warrant agreement, warrants are initially exercisable for one share of Common Stock at an initial exercise price of \$10.00 per share. The warrants will expire on July 11, 2002.

The warrant agreement contains several antidilution provisions that provide for adjustments to the terms of the warrants in case of an adjustment to the outstanding shares. As a result of the 1998 Rights Offering, the 221,000 warrants had an adjusted exercise quantity of 7.3 with an exercise price of \$10.00. After giving effect to the March 5, 1999 reverse stock split, the 221,000 warrants have newly adjusted exercise quantity of .146 at an exercise price of \$10.00. For example a holder of 100 warrants could exercise the warrants for \$1,000 and receive 15 shares of the Company's Common Stock.

Pursuant to the Plan, the Company entered into a two-year employment agreement with Ray Landry beginning on July 11, 1997. As part of that employment agreement, Mr. Landry was granted 60,000 stock options with an exercise price of \$3.50 a share. No expiration term for the options was specified under the employment agreement.

ING (US) Capital Corporation ("ING") posses warrants permitting ING to purchase 2.5% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock on a fully diluted basis. The exercise price for these warrants is \$2.50 a share. ING received its warrants in two traunches. On August 18, 1998, the Company issued warrants entitling ING to purchase 2% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock as partial consideration for the Amendment to the ING Credit Agreement (See "Recent Events"). The remaining warrants were issued to ING pursuant to a letter agreement dated November 20, 1998. In that letter agreement, the Company agreed to issue ING warrants to purchase .05% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock if 1) the Company elected not to complete the November 20, 1998 Rights Offering, 2) did not spend the proceeds from the 1998 Rights Offering as specified in the letter agreement or 3) raised less than \$10,000,000 in the November 20, 1998 Rights Offering. The Rights Offering was completed raising \$7,500,000. On November 20, 1998, ING was issued the additional warrants.

#### F-20

#### Rights Offering

On November 20, 1998, the Company completed a \$7,500,000 Rights Offering. The Company distributed 200,000,000 nontransferable rights at an exercise price of \$2.50 per right, after the effect of the reverse stock split, to the Company's existing shareholders. Each right entitled the holder thereof to subscribe to purchase one share of common stock at the exercise price. Each shareholder who exercised in full his basic subscription privilege was entitled to oversubscribe for additional rights. A total of 3,000,000 rights were exercised for \$7,509,000. As of the date of the Rights Offering, Affiliated Shareholders were owed \$4,600,000 by the Company. In the Rights Offering, the Affiliated Shareholders exercised 1,752,195 rights through the forgiveness of \$4,380,000 of debt. (See Related Parties' Transactions.) The balance of \$220,000 was repaid in cash prior to December 31, 1998.

#### Reverse Stock Split

On March 5, 1999, the Board of Directors authorized a 50-to-1 reverse stock split, thereby decreasing the number of issued and outstanding shares to 3,445,206, and increasing the par value of each share to \$.50. All references in the accompanying financial statements to the number of common shares and per share amounts for 1997 have been restated to reflect the reverse stock split.

### 12. INCOME TAXES

A reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax amount to the recorded expense follows:

<TABLE>

<CAPTION>

	1998	July 11, 1997 Through December 31, 1997	January 1, 1997  Through July 10, 1997	1996
<s></s>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>
Income (loss) before				
Federal income taxes	\$ (59, 105, 000)	\$ (1,713,000)	\$ 79,108,000	\$ (29,387,000)
Expected income tax (benefit)				
At statutory rate	(22,460,000)	(651,000)	30,061,000	(10,285,000)
Valuation allowance	22,460,000	651,000	_	9,358,000
Net operating loss carryforward				
Utilized	_	_	(30,061,000)	-
Reorganization costs	-	_	-	923,000

Other		-		-		-		4,000
Income tax expense								
recorded	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	======		=====		=====		=====	

  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |F-21

The tax effects of temporary differences and net operating loss carryforwards, which give rise to deferred tax assets (liabilities) at December 31, 1998 and 1997, respectively, are as follows:

<TABLE>

<CAPTION>

	1998	1997
<s></s>	<c></c>	<c></c>
Net operating loss carryforward Oil and gas property basis	\$ 17,630,000	\$ 3,740,000
difference	23,089,000	22,362,000
Other	1,953,000	1,953,000
Total deferred tax asset	42,672,000	28,055,000
Valuation allowance	(42,672,000)	(28, 055, 000)
Net deferred tax asset(liability)	\$ - 	\$ - 

</TABLE>

The Company filed a short period tax return for the six months and ten days ended July 10, 1997. On that return, the Company utilized \$30,061,000 of its deferred tax asset. Since the deferred tax asset was fully reserved by a valuation allowance at December 31, 1996, no income tax expense was recognized on the financial statements for the period ended July 10, 1997.

The Company has an available tax net operating loss carry forward of approximately \$67,000,000\$ as of December 31, 1998. This carryforward will begin to expire in the year 2013.

#### 13. EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

Earnings (loss) per share for all periods were computed based on common stock equivalents outstanding on that date during the applicable periods.

#### 14. JOINT VENTURE AGREEMENT

By a Joint Venture Agreement dated October 18, 1991, the Company entered into a joint venture to develop certain oil and gas properties with Tricore Energy Venture, L.P., a Texas limited partnership ("Tricore") and Stag Energy Corporation ("Stag").

Under the terms of the Tricore agreements, Tricore contributed the capitalization required to complete the development of selected prospects, and Stag and the Company contributed, or arranged for contribution of, the prospects to be developed.

The Company provided Tricore with a limited production guarantee based on the minimum production schedule attached to the Tricore joint venture agreement.

As a result of significant production declines from jointly-owned properties, the Company has recorded in 1996 minimum production guarantee charges of \$5,555,000. The \$9,146,000 liability recognized at December 31, 1996 represented the Company's estimated ultimate obligation to the joint venture, including the disallowance of certain tax credits.

On December 9, 1997, this claim was settled as an Allowed General Unsecured Claim in the amount of \$6,800,000 for which Tricore received 10,480 shares of the Company common stock and 524,000 Litigation Entity interests. As a part of this settlement, Tricore transferred its interest in the Joint Venture to the Company with the stipulation that if the Company sold any of the Joint Venture's properties within one year, the Company will pay to Tricore the net proceeds from such sale.

F-22

#### 15. COMMITMENTS

#### Leases

As of December 31, 1998, the Company had no long-term, non-cancelable operating lease commitments.

Rental expense for all operating leases for the year ended December 31, 1998, the period commencing July 11, 1997 and ending December 31, 1997, the period commencing January 1, 1997 and ending July 10, 1997, and for the year ended December 31, 1996 was \$120,000, \$77,000, \$109,000, and \$207,000, respectively.

During 1996, the Company terminated its office lease covering approximately 24,000 square feet in The Woodlands, Texas. The lessor asserted a secured claim in connection with the Company's reorganization case in the amount of \$250,000 and an unsecured claim in the amount of \$127,000, attributable to rental obligations and lease rejection damages associated with such lease. On April 22, 1997, the Bankruptcy Court granted the claimant an allowed secured claim of \$118,000 and an allowed unsecured claim in the amount of \$150,000.

Lac Blanc Escrow Account

During 1998, the Company sold the Lac Blanc field to an unrelated third party. The Company maintained an escrow account related to the future plugging and abandonment of oil and gas wells for the field. As part of the sale of the field, this escrow is to be transferred to the purchaser. The Company and the purchaser are working to cure a title defect in the field. Once that title defect is cured, the escrow will be transferred to the purchaser and the purchase price of \$936,000 for the field will be released to ING.

Accordingly, the Company has treated the \$936,000 as restricted cash.

#### Plugging and Abandonment Funds

In connection with the acquisition of the remaining 50% interest in the WCBB properties, the Company assumed the obligation to contribute approximately \$18,000 per month through March 2004 to a plugging and abandonment trust and the obligation to plug a minimum of 20 wells per year for 20 years commencing March 11, 1997. TEPI retained a security interest in production from these properties and the plugging and abandonment trust until such time the Company's obligations plugging and abandonment obligations to TEPI have been fulfilled. Once the plugging and abandonment trust is fully funded, the Company can access it for use in plugging and abandonment charges associated with the property. As of December 31, 1998, the plugging and abandonment trust totaled \$1,454,000. The Company was \$37,000 in arrears on its escrow payments as of December 31, 1998.

#### Texaco Global Settlement

Pursuant to the terms of a global settlement between Texaco and the State of Louisiana which includes the State Lease No. 50 portion of the Company's East Hackberry Field, the Company was obligated to commence drilling a well or other qualifying development operation on certain non-producing acreage in the field prior to March 1998. Because of prevailing market conditions during 1998, the Company believed it was commercially impractical to shoot seismic or commence drilling operations on the subject property. As a result, the Company has agreed to surrender approximately 440 non-producing acres in this field to the State of Louisiana.

#### Reimbursement of Employee Expenses & Contributions to 401(k) Plan

The Company sponsored a 401(k) savings plan under which eligible employees chose to contribute up to 15% of salary income on a pre-tax basis, subject to certain IRS limits. The Company contribution to the 401(k) plan was discretionary and was 25% of employee contributions up to 6% of their salary. This benefit vests to employees over a five-year employment period or at a rate of 20% per each year of participation. During year ended December 31, 1998, the period commencing July 11, 1997 and ending on December 31, 1997, the period commencing January 1, 1997 and ending on July 10, 1997, and the year ended December 31, 1996, the Company incurred \$4,000, \$13,000, \$23,000, and \$32,000, respectively, in matching contributions expense associated with this plan.

On February 17, 1999, the Company sponsored 401(k) savings plan was terminated and all contributions were distributed to the participants.

#### Stay Bonus

The Company's Board of Directors determined that it was necessary to provide a "stay bonus" to facilitate retention of employees during the Reorganization Case in view of the uncertainties of the future of the Company. On November 6, 1996, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order authorizing the stay bonuses. The Company accrued \$614,000 for these stay bonuses in December of 1996 and the bonuses were paid in June 1997.

#### 16. CONTINGENCIES

During 1995, the Company entered into a marketing agreement with Tri-Deck pursuant to which Tri-Deck would market all of the Company's oil and gas production. Subsequent to the agreement, James Florence, who served as both Tri-Deck's principal and WRT's Director of Marketing, assigned Tri-Deck's right to market the Company's oil production to Plains Marketing and assigned Tri-Deck's right to market the Company's gas production to Perry Gas. During early 1996, Tri-Deck failed to make payments to the Company attributable to several months of the Company's gas production. Consequently, on May 20, 1996, the Company initiated an adversarial proceeding against Tri-Deck and Perry Gas. Perry Gas was the party, which ultimately purchased the Company's gas production for the months in question.

On January 20, 1998, Gulfport and the Litigation Entity entered into a Clarification Agreement to clarify provisions of the Plan regarding the rights of the Company and the Litigation Entity to prosecute certain causes of action arising from the Tri-Deck matter. As a part of the Clarification Agreement, the Litigation Entity will intervene or be substituted as the actual party in interest in the Tri-Deck case and reimbursed the Company \$100,000 for legal fees incurred by the Company. As additional consideration for the contribution of this claim to the Litigation Entity, the Company is entitled to receive 85% of the recovery of all monies held in the court registry and 50% of the recovery from all other Tri-Deck litigation pursued by the Litigation Entity. No provision for the recognition of income concerning this matter has been reflected in the financial statements.

On July 20, 1998, Sanchez Oil & Gas Corporation ("Sanchez") initiated litigation against the Company in the fifteenth Judicial District court, Parish of Lafayette, State of Louisiana. In its petition, Sanchez alleged, among other things, that the Company was obligated, by virtue of the terms of a letter of intent, to grant a sublease to Sanchez for an undivided 50% interest in two of the Company's oil, gas and mineral leases covering lands located in the North Bayou Penchant area of Terrebonne Parish, Louisiana. Pursuant to this lawsuit, Sanchez is seeking specific performance by the Company of the contractual obligation that Sanchez alleges to be present in the letter of intent and monetary damages.

The Company has been named as a defendant on various other litigation matters. The ultimate resolution of these matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations for the periods presented in the financial statements.

#### 17. LITIGATION TRUST ENTITY

On August 13, 1996, the Bankruptcy Court executed and entered its Order Appointing Examiner directing the United States Trustee to appoint a disinterested person as examiner in the Company's bankruptcy case.

The Court ordered the appointed examiner ("Examiner") to file a report of the investigation conducted, including any fact ascertained by the examiner pertaining to fraud, dishonesty, incompetence, misconduct, mismanagement or irregularity in the management of the affairs of the Company.

The Examiner's final report dated April 2, 1997, recommended numerous actions for recovery of property or damages for the Company's estate which appear to exist and should be pursued. Management does not believe the resolution of the matters referred to in the Examiner's report will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

F-24

Pursuant to the Plan of Reorganization, all of the Company's possible causes of action against third parties (with the exception of certain litigation related to recovery of marine and rig equipment assets and claims against Tri-Deck), existing as of the effective date of the Plan, were transferred into a "Litigation Trust" controlled by an independent party for the benefit of most of the Company's existing unsecured creditors. The litigation related to recovery of marine and rig equipment and the Tri-Deck claims were subsequently transferred to the litigation trust as described below.

The Litigation Entity was funded by a \$3,000,000 cash payment from the Company, which was made on the Effective Date. The Company owns a 12% interest in the Litigation Trust with the other 88% being owned by the former general unsecured creditors of the Company. For financial statement reporting purposes, the Company has not recognized the potential value of recoveries which may ultimately be obtained, if any, as a result of the actions of the Litigation Trust, treating the entire \$3,000,000 payment as a reorganization cost incurred during the period commencing January 1, 1997 and ending on July 10, 1997.

On January 20, 1998, the Company and the Litigation Entity entered into a Clarification Agreement whereby the rights to pursue various claims reserved by the Company in the Plan of Reorganization were assigned to the Litigation Trust. In connection with this agreement, the Litigation Trust agreed to reimburse the Company \$100,000 for legal fees the Company had incurred in connection these claims. As additional consideration for the contribution of this claim to the Litigation Trust, the Company is entitled to 20% to 80% of the net proceeds from these claims.

# 18. SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION ON OIL AND GAS EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES (UNAUDITED)

The following is historical revenue and cost information relating to the Company's oil and gas operations located entirely in the southeastern United States:

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

Capitalized Costs Related to Oil and Gas Producing Activities

		1998		1997
<\$>	<c></c>		<c></c>	
Proved Properties Accumulated depreciation, depletion,	\$	77,042,000	\$	79,349,000
amortization and impairment reserve		(58, 637, 000)		(4,371,000)
Proved properties , net	\$	18,405,000	\$	74,978,000

</TABLE> <TABLE> <CAPTION>

Costs Incurred in Oil and Gas Property Acquisition and Development Activities

	1	1988		1997	1996		
<s></s>	<c></c>		<c></c>		<c></c>		
Acquisition	\$	_	\$	15,144,000	\$	-	
Development		746,000 		6, 787, 000		4,282,000	
	=====	\$ 746,000 		\$ 21,931,000 ======		\$4,282,000 	

</TABLE>

F-25

Results of Operations for Producing Activities

The following schedule sets forth the revenues and expenses related to the production and sale of oil and gas. The income tax expense is calculated by

applying the current statutory tax rates to the revenues after deducting costs, which include depreciation, depletion and amortization allowances, after giving effect to the permanent differences. The results of operations exclude general office overhead and interest expense attributable to oil and gas production. <CAPTION>

		1998	T	11, 1997 hrough er 31, 1997	2	ary 1, 1997 Through y 10, 1997		1996
<\$>	<c></c>		<c></c>		<c></c>		<c></c>	
Revenues	\$	8,298,000	\$	9,328,000	\$	10,138,000	\$	24,019,000
Production costs		8,596,000		4,541,000		5,143,000		15,095,000
Impairment of oil and gas properties		50,130,000		-		-		-
Depletion		4,136,000		4,371,000		3,314,000		7,216,000
		(54, 564, 000)		416,000		1,681,000		1,708,000
Income tax expense								34,000
Results of operations from								
producing activities		\$(54,564,000)		\$ 416,000		\$ 1,681,000		\$1,674,000
	====	========	=======		=====		====	=========

#### </TABLE>

#### Oil and Gas Reserves

The following table presents estimated volumes of proven and proven developed oil and gas reserves, prepared by reserve engineers, as of December 31, 1998, 1997, and 1996 and changes in proven reserves during the last three years, assuming continuation of economic conditions prevailing at the end of each year. Estimated volumes as of July 11, 1997 were extrapolated from the December 31, 1997 numbers and were not prepared by independent reserve engineers. Volumes for oil are stated in thousands of barrels (MBbls) and volumes for natural gas are stated in millions of cubic feet (MMCF). The weighted average prices at December 31, 1998 used for reserve report purposes are \$11.47 and \$2.24 for oil and gas reserves, respectively.

The Company  $\$ emphasizes  $\$ that the volumes of reserves  $\$ shown below are estimates which, by their nature, are subject to revision. The estimates are made using all available geological and reservoir data, as well as production performance data. These estimates are reviewed annually and revised, either upward or downward, as warranted by additional performance data. <TABLE>

<CAPTION>

	199	98	July 1 December		January July 10		199	96
	Oil	Gas	0il	Gas	0i1	Gas	0il	Gas
<i><s></s></i>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>
Proven Reserves:								
Beginning of the period Purchases of oil and gas	25,817	11,576	13,677	13,409	14,012	15,502	21,488	59, 755
Reserves in place Extensions, discoveries	-	-	11,612	163	-	-	-	-
and Other additions Revisions of prior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
reserve Estimates	_	_	848	(890)	-	_	(89)	(381)
Current production Sales of oil and gas	(441)	(421)	(320)	(1,106)	(246)	(1,712)	(615)	(3, 629)
Reserves in place	(1,094)	(7,824)					-	<del>-</del>
End of period	24,282	3,331	25,817	11,576	13,677	13,409	14,012	15,502
Proven developed								
reserves	5,665 ==================================	1,250 ======	7,219 ======	8,259 ======	7,248 ======	8,252 ======	9,550 ======	11,687 =======
- /mant ms								

## </TABLE>

## F-26

#### Discounted Future Net Cash Flows

Estimates of future net cash flows from proven oil and gas  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right)$ made in accordance with SFAS No. 69, "Disclosures about Oil and Gas Producing Activities." The following tables present the estimated future cash flows, and changes therein, from the Company's proven oil and gas reserves as of December 31, 1998, 1997, and 1996, assuming continuation of economic conditions prevailing at the end of each year.

## <TABLE>

<CAPTION>

Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows Relating to Proven Oil and Gas Reserves

		1998		1997		1996
<s></s>	<c></c>		<c></c>		<c></c>	
Future cash flows	\$	286,086,000	\$	492,680,000	\$	421,954,000
Future development costs		(116,000,000)		(166, 812, 000)		(107, 627, 000)
Future production costs		(58, 582, 000)		(119, 235, 000)		(90,558,000)
Future production taxes		(35,116,000)		(58,807,000)		(46, 703, 000)

Future net cash flows before

income taxes	76,388,000	147,826,000	177,066,000
10% annual discount for			
estimated timing of cash flows	(48,965,000)	(71,396,000)	(78, 399, 000)
Diameter d. Colonia and	 	 	 
Discounted future net	07 400 000	76 400 000	00 665 000
cash flows	27,423,000	76,430,000	98,667,000
Future income taxes, net of			
10% annual discount	-	-	-
Standardized measure of			
discounted future net cash			
flows	\$ 27,423,000	\$ 76,430,000	\$ 98,667,000

</TABLE>

Changes in Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows
Relating to Proven Oil and Gas Reserves
<TABLE>
<CAPTION>

		1998		1997		1996
<s></s>	<c:< th=""><th></th><th> <c< th=""><th>&gt;</th><th><c></c></th><th>•</th></c<></th></c:<>		 <c< th=""><th>&gt;</th><th><c></c></th><th>•</th></c<>	>	<c></c>	•
Sales and transfers of oil and						
gas produced, net of production						
costs	\$	298,000	\$	(9,354,000)	\$	(8,924,000)
Net changes in prices and						
development and production costs		(59, 354, 000)		(50, 101, 000)		55,345,000
Acquisition of oil and gas reserves						
in place, less related production costs		-		27,195,000		-
Extensions, discoveries and						
improved recovery, less related costs		_		_		-
Revisions of previous quantity						
estimates, less related						
production costs		4,438,000		5,720,000		(914,000)
Sales of reserves in place		(16,679,000)		-		-
Abandoned properties		(140,000)				
Accretion of discount		22,430,000		6,248,000		5,137,000
Net change in income taxes		-		-		-
Other				(1,945,000)		(3,344,000)
Total change in standardized						
measure of discounted future						
net cash flows	\$	(49,007,000)	\$	(22,237,000)	\$	47,300,000
	===		==		===	

#### </TABLE>

## F-27

Comparison of Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows to the Net Carrying Value of Proven Oil and Gas Properties at December 31, 1998 and 1997 is as follows:

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

		1998		1997
<pre><s> Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows</s></pre>	<c> \$</c>	27, 423, 000	<c> \$</c>	76,430,000
Proven oil and gas properties Less accumulated depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment reserve		77,042,000 (58,637,000)		79,349,000 (4,371,000)
Net carrying value of proven oil and gas properties		18,405,000		74,978,000
Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows in excess of net carry value of proven oil and gas properties	\$ ====	9,018,000 ======	\$ ====	1,452,000 ======

</TABLE>

F-28

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures.

Not Applicable

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant.

The officers and directors of the Company are as follows: <TABLE> <CAPTION>

	Name	Age	Position
<s></s>		<c></c>	<c></c>

Mark Liddell	44	President and Director
Mike Liddell	45	Chairman of the Board, Chief
		Executive Officer and Director
Robert E. Brooks	51	Director
David L. Houston	46	Director
Mickey Liddell	37	Director

</TABLE>

Mark Liddell has served as a director of Gulfport since July 11, 1997 and as its President since April 28, 1998. Until April 28, 1998, Mr. Liddell held the position of President of DLB, a position he held since October 1994. Mr. Liddell was Vice President of DLB from 1991 to 1994. From 1985 to 1991, he was Vice President of DLB Energy. Since November 1997, Mr. Liddell has served as a director of Bayard Drilling Technologies, Inc., a publicly held drilling company, from 1991 to May 1995, Mr. Liddell served as a director of TGX Corporation, a publicly held oil and gas company, and from 1989 to 1990, he served as a director of Kaneb Services, Inc., a publicly held industrial services and pipeline transportation company. He received a B.S. degree in education and a J.D. degree from the University of Oklahoma. He is the brother of Mike Liddell and Mickey Liddell.

Mike Liddell has served as a director of Gulfport since July 11, 1997, as Chief Executive Officer since April 28, 1998 and as Chairman of the Board since July 28, 1998. In addition, Mr. Liddell served as Chief Executive Officer of DLB from October 1994 to April 28, 1998, and as a director of DLB from 1991 through April 1998. From 1991 to 1994, Mr. Liddell was President of DLB. From 1979 to 1991, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of DLB Energy. He received a B.S. degree in education from Oklahoma State University. He is the brother of Mark Liddell and Mickey Liddell.

Robert E. Brooks has served as a director of Gulfport since July 11, 1997. Mr. Brooks is currently a partner with Brooks Greenblatt, a commercial finance company located in Baton Rouge, Louisiana that was formed by Mr. Brooks in July 1997. Mr. Brooks is a Certified Public Accountant and was Senior Vice President in charge of Asset Finance and Managed Assets for Bank One, Louisiana between 1993 and July 1997. He received his B.S. degree from Purdue University in mechanical engineering in 1969. He obtained graduate degrees in finance and accounting from the Graduate School of Business at the University of Chicago in 1974

David Houston has served as a director of Gulfport since July 1998. Since 1991, Mr. Houston has been the principal of Houston & Associates, a firm that offers life and disability insurance, compensation and benefits plans and estate planning. Prior to 1991, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of Equity Bank for Savings, F.A., a \$600 million, Oklahoma-based savings bank. He currently serves on the board of directors and executive committee of Deaconess Hospital, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and is the former chair of the Oklahoma State Ethics Commission and the Oklahoma League of Savings Institutions. He received a Bachelor of Science degree in business from Oklahoma State University and a graduate degree in banking from Louisiana State University.

Mickey Liddell has served as a director of Gulfport since January 1999. Mr. Liddell is currently the President of Banner Entertainment, Inc., a motion picture production company in Los Angeles, California. Prior to 1994, Mr. Liddell owned and managed wholesale nutrition product stores in Los Angeles. Mr. Liddell received a Bachelor of Arts from the University of Oklahoma in Communications in 1984 and a graduate degree from Parson School of Design in New York, New York in 1987. He is the brother of Mark Liddell and Mike Liddell.

#### Item 11. Executive Compensation

The following table provides summary information concerning compensation paid or accrued during the three fiscal years December 31, 1998, 1997 and 1996 to the Company's Chief Executive Officer and each of the four most highly compensated executive officers of the Company, determined as of the end of the last fiscal year, whose annual compensation exceeded \$100,000.

<TABLE>
<CAPTION>

Name and Principal Position	Year	Annual Compensation(1)(2)		Long Term Compensation Awards	All Other Compensation
		Salary	Bonus		
<\$>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>
Mike Liddell Chief Executive Officer(3)	1998	\$133,333		<del></del>	<del></del>
Mark Liddell President(4)	1998	\$133,333			
Raymond P. Landry	1998	\$156,000			
Executive Vice-	1997	\$156,000	\$78,000	\$60,000	
President (5)	1996	\$161,962	\$25,000		
Wayne A. Benninger	1998				
Vice-President	1997	\$ 95,506	\$65,500		
Strategic Planning(6)	1996	\$116,804			
Thomas Stewart	1998				
Vice-President of	1997	\$ 83,359	\$53,000		
Operations(7)	1996	\$108,808			

- (1) Amounts shown include cash and non-cash compensation earned and received by the named executives as well as amounts earned but deferred at their election.
- (2) The Company provides various perquisites to certain employees, including the named executives. In each case, the aggregate value of the perquisite provided to the named executives did not exceed 10% of such named executive's annual salary and bonus.
- (3) Mr. Mike Liddell became the Chief Executive Officer of the Company on April 28, 1998. Mr. Liddell's salary was not paid directly by Gulfport. His services were provided pursuant to the Administrative Services Agreement and the compensation amount reflects the portion of his compensation from DLB Equities, L.L.C. that was allocated to the Company under such agreement. See "Certain Transactions".
- (4) Mr. Mark Liddell was named President of the Company on April 28, 1998. Mr. Liddell's salary was not directly paid by Gulfport. His services were provided pursuant to the Administrative Services Agreement and the compensation amount reflects the portion of his compensation from DLB Equities, L.L.C. that was allocated to the Company under such agreement. See "Certain Transactions".
- (5) Mr. Landry received a \$25,000 sign-on bonus per the terms of his employment contract, payment of which was deferred to 1996. Mr. Landry received \$78,000 in compensation during 1997 as a participant of the employee stay bonus program. Mr. Landry ceased to be an Executive Vice President on May 5, 1998, but continues to serve as an employee of the Company.
- (6) Mr. Beninger resigned as Vice President of Strategic Planning on August 31, 1997. During 1997, Mr. Beninger received \$65,500 in compensation as a participant of the employee stay bonus program.
- (7) Mr. Stewart resigned as Vice President of Operations on July 11, 1997. During 1997, Mr. Stewart received \$53,000 in compensation as a participant of the employee stay bonus program.

Stock Options Granted

There were no grants of stock options to named executive employees in 1998. The following table sets forth information concerning the grant of stock options during 1997 to the named executives.

<TABLE>

<CAPTION>

	Individual	Grants			Potential Realizable	
Number of		#of Total		Value Assumed Annual		
	Securities	Options		Rates at of Stock		tock Price
	Underlying Granted			Appreciation for Option		
	Options	Employers	Exercise	Expiration		Terms (1)
Name	Granted(#)	in 1997	Price (\$/SH)	Date(2)	5% <i>(\$)</i>	10% (\$)
<s></s>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>
Raymond P. Landry	60,000	100%	\$3.50		\$132,068	\$334,686

</TABLE>

- (1) The assumed annual rates of increase are based on an annually compounded increase of the exercise price of \$3.50 per share through a presumed ten year option term.
- (2) Mr. Landry's options were granted under an employment agreement that was part of the Plan, which was confirmed on July 11, 1997. No expiration term for the options was specified under the employment agreement.

Stock Option Holdings

The following table sets forth the number of unexercised options held by named executives as of December 31, 1998. No options were exercised in 1997 and no options were in-the-money as of December 31, 1998.  $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE} > \\ \text{<CAPTION} > \end{array}$ 

(1) These options were exercisable at \$3.50 per share.

Director Compensation

Up to the Effective Date, each director who was not a salaried employee of the Company received \$500 for his attendance at each meeting of the Board of Directors and was reimbursed for expenses incurred in connection with attending each such meeting. Currently, each outside director receives compensation in the amount of \$1,000 per month, \$500 for attendance at each meeting of the Board of Directors and reimbursement for expenses incurred in connection with attending such meetings.

Employment Agreements

Pursuant to the Plan, Mr. Landry entered into a two-year employment agreement with Gulfport commencing on the Effective Date. This employment

agreement provides for a salary of \$156,000 per year and stock options to purchase 60,000 shares of Common Stock at \$3.50 per share pursuant to a stock option agreement to be established by Gulfport. In addition, Gulfport assumed the rights and obligations of existing employment contracts with Wayne A. Beninger and Thomas C. Stewart, both of which expired on August 31, 1997, and called for annual salaries of \$125,000 and \$100,000, respectively.

52

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Trading

No member of the Committee is a former or current officer or employee of the Company and no employee of the Company serves or has served on the compensation committee (or board of directors of a corporation lacking a compensation committee) of a corporation employing a member of this Committee.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management
<TABLE>
<CAPTION>

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner(1)

Beneficial Ownership

Shares   Percentage (2)
Mike Liddel1(3) 234,390 6.8% 6307 Waterford Blvd., Suite 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73118  Mark Liddel1(4) 119,466 3.4% 6307 Waterford Blvd., Suite 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73118  Charles E. Davidson(5) 1,339,053 38.8% 411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Wexford Managment, LLC(6) 551,228 15.9% 411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Peter M. Faulkner(7) 240,773 6.9% 767 Third Avenue, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10017  Robert Brooks 343 3rd Street Suite 205 Baton Rouge, LA 70801  David Houston 1120 N.W. 63rd
6307 Waterford Blvd., Suite 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73118  Mark Liddell(4) 119,466 3.4% 6307 Waterford Blvd., Suite 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73118  Charles E. Davidson(5) 1,339,053 38.8% 411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Wexford Managment, LLC(6) 551,228 15.9% 411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Peter M. Faulkner(7) 240,773 6.9% 767 Third Avenue, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10017  Robert Brooks * * * * 343 3rd Street Suite 205 Baton Rouge, LA 70801  David Houston 1120 N.W. 63rd
Oklahoma City, OK 73118  Mark Liddell(4)
Mark Liddel1(4) 119,466 3.4% 6307 Waterford Blvd., Suite 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73118  Charles E. Davidson(5) 1,339,053 38.8% 411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Wexford Managment, LLC(6) 551,228 15.9% 411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Peter M. Faulkner(7) 240,773 6.9% 767 Third Avenue, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10017  Robert Brooks ** ** ** 343 3rd Street Suite 205 Baton Rouge, LA 70801  David Houston
6307 Waterford Blvd., Suite 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73118  Charles E. Davidson(5) 1,339,053 38.8% 411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Wexford Managment, LLC(6) 551,228 15.9% 411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Peter M. Faulkner(7) 240,773 6.9% 767 Third Avenue, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10017  Robert Brooks * * * 343 3rd Street Suite 205 Baton Rouge, LA 70801  David Houston
6307 Waterford Blvd., Suite 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73118  Charles E. Davidson(5) 1,339,053 38.8% 411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Wexford Managment, LLC(6) 551,228 15.9% 411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Peter M. Faulkner(7) 240,773 6.9% 767 Third Avenue, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10017  Robert Brooks * * * 343 3rd Street Suite 205 Baton Rouge, LA 70801  David Houston
Charles E. Davidson (5) 1,339,053 38.8% 411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Wexford Managment, LLC(6) 551,228 15.9% 411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Peter M. Faulkner(7) 240,773 6.9% 767 Third Avenue, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10017  Robert Brooks * * * 343 3rd Street Suite 205 Baton Rouge, LA 70801  David Houston
411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Wexford Managment, LLC(6) 551,228 15.9%  411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Peter M. Faulkner(7) 240,773 6.9%  767 Third Avenue, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10017  Robert Brooks * * * 343 3rd Street Suite 205 Baton Rouge, LA 70801  David Houston
411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Wexford Managment, LLC(6) 551,228 15.9%  411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Peter M. Faulkner(7) 240,773 6.9%  767 Third Avenue, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10017  Robert Brooks * * * 343 3rd Street Suite 205 Baton Rouge, LA 70801  David Houston
Greenwich, CT 06830  Wexford Managment, LLC(6) 551,228 15.9% 411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Peter M. Faulkner(7) 240,773 6.9% 767 Third Avenue, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10017  Robert Brooks * * * 343 3rd Street Suite 205 Baton Rouge, LA 70801  David Houston
411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Peter M. Faulkner(7) 240,773 6.9%  767 Third Avenue, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10017  Robert Brooks * * * 343 3rd Street Suite 205 Baton Rouge, LA 70801  David Houston * * 1120 N.W. 63rd
411 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830  Peter M. Faulkner(7) 240,773 6.9%  767 Third Avenue, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10017  Robert Brooks * * * 343 3rd Street Suite 205 Baton Rouge, LA 70801  David Houston * * 1120 N.W. 63rd
Greenwich, CT 06830  Peter M. Faulkner(7) 240,773 6.9% 767 Third Avenue, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10017  Robert Brooks * * * 343 3rd Street Suite 205 Baton Rouge, LA 70801  David Houston
767 Third Avenue, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10017  Robert Brooks
767 Third Avenue, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10017  Robert Brooks
New York, New York 10017  Robert Brooks
Robert Brooks
343 3rd Street Suite 205 Baton Rouge, LA 70801  David Houston
Suite 205 Baton Rouge, LA 70801  David Houston
Baton Rouge, LA 70801  David Houston * * * 1120 N.W. 63rd
David Houston * * * 1120 N.W. 63rd
1120 N.W. 63rd
Suite 360
Oklahoma City, OK 73116
Mickey Liddell * *
8265 Sunset Blvd.
Suite 200
Los Angeles, CA 90046
All directors and executive officers as a group 353,857 10.27%
(5 individuals)

</TABLE>

- \* Less than one percent.
- (1) Unless otherwise indicated, each person or group has sole voting power with respect to all listed shares.
- (2) Each listed person's percentage ownership is determined by assuming that options, warrants and other convertible securities that are held by such person and that are exercisable or convertible within sixty (60) days have been exercised.
- (3) Includes shares of Common Stock held of record by Liddell Investments, L.L.C. Mr. Liddell is the sole member of Liddell Investments, L.L.C.
- (4) Includes shares of Common Stock held of record by Liddell Holdings, L.L.C. Mr. Liddell is the sole member of Liddell Holdings, L.L.C.
  53
- (5) Includes 1,322,250 shares of Common Stock held of record by CD Holding, L.L.C. and 16,802.5 shares of Common Stock held in an IRA for Mr. Davidson. Mr. Davidson is the sole member of CD Holding, L.L.C. Does not include 551,228 shares of Common Stock held by the Wexford Entities (as defined below). Mr. Davidson is the Chairman and controlling member of Wexford Management, L.L.C. Mr. Davidson disclaims beneficial ownership of the 551,228 shares owned by the Wexford Entities.
- (6) Includes shares of Common Stock owned by the following investment funds (the "Wexford Entities") that are affiliated with Wexford Management: Wexford Special Situations 1996, L.P.; Wexford Special Situations 1996 Institutional, L.P.; Wexford Special Situations 1996, Limited; Wexford-Euris Special Situations 1996, L.P.; Wexford Spectrum Investors, L.L.C.; Wexford Capital Partners II, L.P.; Wexford Overseas Partners I, L.P.
- (7) Includes shares of Common Stock owned by the following investment funds: PMF Partners, L.L.C., Rumpere Capital, L.P., and Rumpere Capital Fund, Ltd.

#### Reorganization of the Company

By Order dated May 2, 1997, the Bankruptcy Court confirmed the Plan of WRT and co-proponents DLB Oil & Gas, Inc. and Wexford Management. On July 11, 1997, DLB Oil & Gas, Inc. and Wexford Management received, pursuant to the Plan, an aggregate of 13.2 million shares of Common Stock for various claims, assets and cash as detailed below:

<TABLE>

<CAPTION>

<S>
Unsecured debt of \$34.3 million
Contribution of DLB's interest in certain WCBB properties
Cash of \$5.0 million
Contribution of \$11.5 million of secured and asserted
secured claims
Total shares issued to DLB and Wexford Management

CC>
million shares

3.27 million shares

3.27 million shares

Total shares issued to DLB and Wexford Management

3.20 million shares

Although Tables

Total shares issued to DLB and Wexford Management

CONTRIBLES

For additional information concerning the Company's bankruptcy reorganization, see "Business - Events Leading to the Reorganization Case."

#### Administrative Services Agreement

Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Administrative Services Agreement, DLB Oil & Gas, Inc. agreed to make available to the Company personnel, services, facilities, supplies, and equipment as the Company may need, including executive and managerial, accounting, auditing and tax, engineering, geological and geophysical, legal, land and administrative and clerical services. The initial term was one year beginning on the date of the Administrative Services Agreement. The Administrative Services Agreement continues for successive one-year periods unless terminated by either party by written notice no less than 60 days prior to the anniversary date of the Administrative Services Agreement. During the year ended December 31, 1997, the services of Gary C. Hanna and Ronald D. Youtsey, the Company's then-President and Secretary, respectively, were provided under this agreement. On April 28, 1998, in connection with the acquisition of DLB Oil & Gas, Inc. by Chesapeake Energy Corporation, the obligations of DLB Oil & Gas, Inc. under the Administrative Services Agreement were assigned to DLB Equities, L.L.C. Currently, the services of Mike Liddell, Chief Executive Officer, and Mark Liddell, President, are provided under the Administrative Services Agreement.

54 In return for the services rendered under the Administrative Services Agreement, the Company pays a monthly service charge based on the pro rata proportion of the Company's use of services, personnel, facilities, supplies and equipment provided by DLB Equities, L.L.C. as determined by DLB Equities, L.L.C. in a good-faith, reasonable manner. The service charge was calculated as the sum of (i) DLB Equities, L.L.C.'s fully allocated internal costs of providing personnel and/or performing services, (ii) the actual costs to DLB Equities, L.L.C. of any third-party services required, (iii) the equipment, occupancy, rental, usage, or depreciation and interest charges, and (iv) the actual cost to DLB Equities, L.L.C. of supplies. The fees provided for in the Administrative Services Agreement were approved by the Bankruptcy Court as part of the Plan and the Company believes that such fees are comparable to those that would be charged by an independent third party. Liddell Investments, L.L.C., Liddell Holdings, L.L.C., and CD Holdings, L.L.C., exercised 31,624,178 rights, equal to 632,483 after giving effect to the 50-1 reverse stock split, in the November 20, 1998 Rights Offering through debt forgiveness. No amount under the receivable remains outstanding.

At December 31, 1997, Gulfport owed DLB Oil & Gas, Inc. approximately \$1.6 million for services rendered pursuant to the Administrative Services Agreement. In March 1998, in order to facilitate the acquisition of DLB Oil & Gas, Inc. by Chesapeake Energy Corp., Mike Liddell, Mark Liddell and Charles Davidson purchased the receivable from DLB Oil & Gas, Inc. for its then outstanding amount of approximately \$1.6 million. Each of Messrs. Mike and Mark Liddell and Mr. Davidson subsequently transferred his portion of the receivable to Liddell Investments, L.L.C., Liddell Holdings, L.L.C. and CD Holding, respectively. The receivable accrued interest at the rata of LIBOR plus 3% per annum.

## Stockholder Credit Facility

On August 18, 1998, the Company entered into the Stockholder Credit Facility, a \$3.0 million revolving credit facility with Liddell Investments, L.L.C., Liddell Holdings, L.L.C., CD Holdings, L.L.C. and Wexford Entities (collectively "Affiliated Stockholders"). Borrowings under the Stockholder Credit Facility are due on August 17, 1999 and bear interest at LIBOR plus 3%. Pursuant to the Stockholder Credit Facility, the Company agreed to pay to the Affiliated Eligible Stockholders an aggregate commitment fee equal to \$60,000. As of October 7, 1998, \$3.0 million was outstanding under the Stockholder Credit Facility. The Company repaid \$2.0 million of principal under the Amended ING Credit Agreement with borrowings under the Stockholder Credit Facility. The remaining \$1.0 million was used for working capital and general corporate purposes. The Affiliated Stockholders paid the Subscription Price for 60,000,000 rights, equal to 1,200,000 rights after giving effect to the reverse stock split, in the Rights Offering through the forgiveness of the amount owed to them under the Stockholder Credit Facility.

PART TV

Item 14. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K.

- (1) Financial Statements
- (2) Financial Statement Schedules
- (3) Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation 8-K are as follows:

#### EXHIBIT NO.

#### DESCRIPTION

- $2.1\star\star--$  Final order authorizing use of proceeds from oil and gas operations(1)
- 2.2\*\*-- Letter agreement by and among WRT Energy Corporation, DLB Oil & Gas,
  Inc. and Wexford Management, LLC, dated October 22, 1996 and amended
  October 28, 1996.(2)
- 2.3\*\*-- Debtor's and DLBW's First Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization
  Under chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, dated
  January 20, 1997.(3)
- 2.4\*\*-- First Amended Disclosure Statement Under 11 U.S.C.ss. 1125 In Support of Debtor's and DLBW's First Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization Under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, dated January 20, 1997. (3)
- 2.5\*\*-- Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of July 10, 1997 by and between WRT Energy Corporation, a Texas corporation and WRT Energy Corporation, a Delaware corporation. (4)
- 3.1\*\*-- Restated Certificate of Incorporation. (6)
- 3.2\*\*-- Certificate of Amendment of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation. (6)
- 3.3\*\*-- Bylaws. (6)
- 4.1\*\*-- Form of Rights Certificate.
- 4.2\*\*-- Form of Transmittal Letter from registrant to stockholders in connection with the Rights Offering.
- 4.3\*\*-- Credit Agreement, dated as of July 10, 1997, by and between WRT Energy Corporation and ING (U.S.) Capital Corporation ("ING").(6)
- 4.4\*\*-- Amendment No. 1 to Credit Agreement, dated as of August 18, 1998, by and between the Company and ING.
- 4.5\*\*-- Subordination Agreement, dated as of August 18, 1998, by and between ING and the Subordinated Creditors named therein.
- 4.6\*\*-- Warrant issued to ING.
- 5\*\* -- Opinion of Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld, L.L.P.
- 8\*\* -- Opinion of Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld, L.L.P.
- 10.1\*\*-- Employment Agreement, dated as of July 10, 1997 by and between WRT Energy Corporation and Raymond P. Landry.(6)
- 10.2\*\*-- Revolving Line of Credit Agreement, dated as of August 18, 1998, by and among the Company, Wexford Special Situations 1996, L.P., Wexford Special Situations 1996 Institutional, L.P., Wexford Special Situations 1996, Limited, Wexford-Euris Special Situations 1996, L.P., Wexford Spectrum Investors LLC, Wexford Capital Partners II, L.P., Wexford Overseas Partners I, L.P., CD Holdings, L.L.C., Liddell Investments LLC and Liddell Holdings LLC.
- 23.1\*\*-- Consent of Hogan & Slovacek, independent public accountants.
- 23.2\*\*-- Consent of Netherland, Sewell & Associates, independent petroleum engineers.
- 24.1 -- Power of Attorney (included on signature page of this Registration Statement).
- 27.1 -- Financial Data Schedule. (7)

56

(b) The Registrant filed the following reports on Form 8-K:

Form 8-K filed on June 13, 1997 reporting the plan of reorganization for WRT Energy Corporation with DLB Oil and Gas, Inc. and Wexford Management LLC.

Form 8-K filed on July 11, 1997 reporting the reorganized WRT Energy Corporation, a Delaware corporation, noting the emergence from bankruptcy.

Form 8-K filed on December 10, 1997 reporting changes in registrants certified accountants.

Form 8-KA filed on February 17, 1998 reporting letter to the SEC from KPMG Peat Marwick LLP regarding termination of their client - auditor relationship.

Form 8-K filed on December 18, 1998 reporting the completed Stock Rights offering as described in its S-1A registration statement filed October 30, 1998.

Form 8-K filed on January 12, 1998 reporting the termination of the Farmout Agreement with Tri-C Resources, Inc.

\* Filed herewith.

## \*\* Previously filed.

- (1) Filed with Form 8-K dated March 14, 1997.
- (2) Filed with Form 8-K dated November 6, 1996.
- (3) Filed with Form 8-K dated March 3, 1997.
- (4) Filed with Form 8-K dated July 22, 1997. (5) Filed with Form 10-Q dated May 15, 1998.
- (6) Filed with Form 10-Q dated December 1, 1997.
- (7) Filed with Form 10-Q dated June 30, 1998.

-----

57

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or  $15\,(d)$  of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: March 31, 1999.

GULFPORT ENERGY CORPORATION

By: /s/ Mark Liddell

Mark Liddell, President

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 as amended, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacity and on the date indicated.

Date: March 31, 1999 By: /s/ Mark Liddell

Mark Liddell, President and Director

Date: March 31, 1999 By: /s/ Mike Liddell

\_\_\_\_\_

Mike Liddell, Chief Executive Officer

and Director

Date: March 31, 1999 By: /s/ Robert Brooks

\_\_\_\_\_

Robert Brooks, Director

Date: March 31, 1999 By: /s/ David L. Houston

-----

David L. Houston, Director

Date: March 31, 1999 By: Mickey Liddell

-----

Mickey Liddell, Director

<sup>1</sup> The December 31, 1998 shares have been restated throughout this Annual Report giving effect to the Reverse Stock Split. 2 On March 5, 1999, the Registrant completed a fifty to one reverse stock split.

<ARTICLE> 5

<\$>	<c></c>
<period-type></period-type>	YEAR
<fiscal-year-end></fiscal-year-end>	DEC-31-1998
<period-start></period-start>	JAN-01-1998
<period-end></period-end>	DEC-31-1998
<cash></cash>	3,714
<securities></securities>	0
<receivables></receivables>	6, 263
<allowances></allowances>	4,607
<inventory></inventory>	0
<current-assets></current-assets>	110
<pp&e></pp&e>	78,909
<pre><depreciation></depreciation></pre>	58,919
<total-assets></total-assets>	27,568
<current-liabilities></current-liabilities>	8,684
<bonds></bonds>	0
<preferred-mandatory></preferred-mandatory>	0
<preferred></preferred>	0
<common></common>	1,723
<other-se></other-se>	16,780
<total-liability-and-equity></total-liability-and-equity>	27,568
<sales></sales>	8,298
<total-revenues></total-revenues>	8,844
<cgs></cgs>	0
<total-costs></total-costs>	66,415
<other-expenses></other-expenses>	0
<loss-provision></loss-provision>	0
<interest-expense></interest-expense>	1,534
<income-pretax></income-pretax>	(59, 105)
<income-tax></income-tax>	0
<income-continuing></income-continuing>	(59, 105)
<discontinued></discontinued>	0
<extraordinary></extraordinary>	0
<changes></changes>	0
<net-income></net-income>	(59, 105)
<eps-primary></eps-primary>	(72)
<eps-diluted></eps-diluted>	(72)